**HRP OBJECTIVE 1:** The protection of population affected by the crisis is improved through community-based and individually targeted protection interventions and through advocacy with duty bearers.

**PROGRESS AGAINST HRP TARGET PER INDICATOR**

1.1.1. No. of people reached through awareness raising activities (cumulative intervention) (All settings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governorate</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1.2. No. of people reached through community-based protection services (All settings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governorate</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2.1. No. of people reached with legal awareness raising, counselling or assistance (cumulative intervention) (All settings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governorate</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROGRESS AGAINST 2019 HRP INDICATORS**

**FILTERS**

- BY HUB
- BY GOVERNORATE

**MAP OF REACH BY SUB-DISTRICT**

**REACH OF INDICATORS BY DIFFERENT CRITERIA**

**BY ACTIVITY**

- Awareness raising
- Community
- GBV prevention and empowerment
- Legal awareness raising, counselling or assistance

**BY WEEK OF ORIGIN**

- Early
- Medium
- Late

**COMMUNITY-FOCUSED INTERVENTIONS**

- From community feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Community-driven
- Community-managed
- Community-based

**SUPPORT TO INDIVIDUALS**

- From individual feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Individual-driven
- Individual-managed
- Individual-based

**SUPPORT TO GOVERNMENT**

- From government feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Government-driven
- Government-managed
- Government-based

**SUPPORT TO DUTY BEARERS**

- From duty bearers feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Duty bearers-driven
- Duty bearers-managed
- Duty bearers-based

**SUPPORT TO CIVIL SOCIETY OR OTHER NON-GOVERNMENTAL ACTORS**

- From civil society or other non-governmental actors feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Civil society-driven
- Civil society-managed
- Civil society-based

**SUPPORT TO NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY ASSESSMENTS**

- From national and community assessments feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- National and community-driven
- National and community-managed
- National and community-based

**SUPPORT TO INTERNATIONAL ORLY SERVICES OR OTHER MULTILATERAL OR INSTITUTIONAL ACTORS**

- From international orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- International orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors-driven
- International orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors-managed
- International orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors-based

**MAP OF REACH BY SUB-DISTRICT**

**BY GOVERNORATE PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL REACH PER INDICATOR**

- Area of responsibility
- Mode of delivery
- Access status (Oct 2019)

**FILTERS**

- BY GOVERNORATE
- All

**SUPPORT TO GOVERNMENT**

- From government feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Government-driven
- Government-managed
- Government-based

**SUPPORT TO CIVIL SOCIETY OR OTHER NON-GOVERNMENTAL ACTORS**

- From civil society or other non-governmental actors feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- Civil society-driven
- Civil society-managed
- Civil society-based

**SUPPORT TO NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY ASSESSMENTS**

- From national and community assessments feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- National and community-driven
- National and community-managed
- National and community-based

**SUPPORT TO INTERNATIONAL ORLY SERVICES OR OTHER MULTILATERAL OR INSTITUTIONAL ACTORS**

- From international orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors feedback and evidence on protection needs and risks
- International orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors-driven
- International orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors-managed
- International orly services or other multilateral or institutional actors-based
The dashboard is created and maintained by the Whole of Syria Protection sector coordination team in order to provide readers with an overview of protection response analysis across all the hubs responding to the Syria crisis i.e. Turkey, Syria and the North East Syria NGOs. The analysis is done using data from the 4Ws (Who does What Where and When) reports shared with the coordination bodies in each of the hubs by humanitarian actors conducting protection activities. This includes activities of Child Protection, Gender-Based Violence and Mine Action Areas of Responsibilities (AoRs) under the Protection sector.

**NAVIGATION**

**LIST OF PAGES:**
The dashboard presents three different pages of analysis as explained below.

- **Page 1)** Overview with Interventions: Provides analysis using number of interventions.
- **Page 2)** HRP Indicators: Provides analysis using response indicators included in the DRAFT 2019 Syria Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP).
- **Page 3)** Actor Presence: Provides analysis using the number of actors with protection activities reporting to the 4Ws as well as those implementing on ground.

**USING FILTERS:**
All pages have a range of filters lined up in the top left corner.

- You can filter by hub, month when the activity was done, type of actor reporting to the sector 4Ws or implementing the activity, different administrative units in Syria i.e Governorate, Sub-district, Community or IDP camp/site, mode or type of facility where the protection activity is delivered, access status of the community/neighborhood (as per latest UNSC categorisation), Sector’s severity categorisation and by the various Areas of Responsibilities (AoRs).
- By default, none of the filters are chosen.

Under each filter, you can see an arrow facing downwards. Clicking this arrow will give the list of options under each filter.

- From the options, you can choose one or more options. Selecting none or ‘Select all’ will give you the default total figure.
- You can use as many filters or combinations as required for your analysis.

The filters in each page are applied to all the graphics in that page but is restricted to that respective page. You will have to reapply the filters in the other pages, if you wish to filter the graphics in those pages.

**USING GRAPHICS:**
The graphs show different elements of analysis. You can refer to the ‘Interpretation’ section below for more info.

- Graphs also work as a filter. If you click on a particular part of a graph, all the graphics in that page will be filtered accordingly. Re-click on the same part to release the filter and return to default analysis/view.
- For further details, beyond the label on the graphs, please try hovering your cursor on the interested part of the graph. A box with more details, if any, will appear.

**USING MAPS:**
All the pages have maps showing different elements of analysis, colour graded at sub-district level. Maps also work as a filter by sub-district i.e. if you click on a sub-district, all the graphics on the respective page will be filtered with analysis specific to the selected sub-district.

**TOOLTIPS:**
If you hover your cursor on any of the graphics, a box with tooltips i.e. further details will appear.

**INTERPRETATION**

**Page 1)** Overview with Interventions:

**DEFINITION OF INTERVENTIONS:** The Protection sector’s overall reach figure represent the total number of distinct protection interventions conducted through the sector’s prevention, response and capacity building activities.

Aside general protection, it includes achievements from child protection (CP), gender-based violence (GBV) and mine action areas of responsibilities (AoRs) and is calculated as the total of all indicators of the sector in the HRP.

Interventions are done for beneficiaries but the sector prefers to use the term ‘Interventions’ as the reporting unit, to caution that most beneficiaries are reached by more than one intervention in the course of the year, particularly through integrated protection services (e.g. an individual may receive PFA support from outreach volunteer, and then legal aid from the same Community Centre). Existing systems of counting on ground are not sufficient to identify and report on unique beneficiaries reached between all the protection activities and all the implementing actors. As such, the overall intervention figure counts the same person as many times as s/he benefits from different activities where as a person benefiting from a particular activity is only reported once through the implementation of the activity, even if it spans across months once.

Monthly figures for Interventions (when you filter using “Month”): sector reports response on a cumulative basis i.e. a beneficiary of a particular activity is only reported once through the implementation of the activity. Figures corresponding to a particular month include only reports received in that month with persons who fully benefitted from the activity. The stage when a person is considered as a beneficiary of a certain activity depends on the type of activity. It can be 1) when the person completes the activity (for e.g. vocational training) OR 2) when the person completes a pre-defined number of days/months/sessions of the activity. (for e.g. Child protection psychosocial support programmes) OR 3) in a few cases, when a person starts the activity (for e.g when a person starts attending a women’s safe space).

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** The figures might differ from hub level products where interventions beyond HRP indicators are considered.

**SELECT ACTIVITIES:** The graph provides a snapshot of all activities under the protection sector under broad categories across AoRs. In the 4Ws, activities are reported using terminology specific to each Area of Responsibility, analysis of which can be seen in the AoR specific products.

**BY DIFFERENT CRITERIA:** The piecharts under this heading indicated proportion of interventions by sex and age disaggregation, access status of the community/neighborhood (as per latest UNSC categorisation) and mode or type of facility where the protection activity is delivered.

**COHERENCE OF COVERAGE BETWEEN GOVERNORATES:** The graph shows proportion of interventions done in each Governorate against the total done in the country and compares it with the proportion of people in need (PIN) in the respective Governorate.

**RESPONSE BY SEVERITY RANK:** The Protection sector calculated severity by sub-district using displaced and returns population, conflict incidents and access. The detailed map and analysis can be found here.

**TO COMPARISON WITH HEAVY BURDEN:** The bar graph on the left shows number of sub-districts reached and not reached in each severity category alongwith a line of proportion of interventions done in each category.

**To compare, coherence with need, the bar graph below indicates the proportion of people in need by severity category.**

**Page 2)** HRP Indicators:

The analysis on this page begins with a graph of reach figures by indicator. The unit of the figure differs by indicator.

A graph showing indicator reach by Governorate and a map of reach by sub-district follows.

The section at the end shows the progress of each indicator against the given target in the 2018 HRP. If you filter by hub, the targets for each indicator will change accordingly.

To note: As the targets are only available at national level and not by Governorate or sub-district, you will see the national target even if filtered by Governorate. The progress figure will be filtered accordingly.

**Page 3)** Actor Presence:

The page provides an overview of how many actors are involved in the protection response according to the different elements of analysis i.e. by

1) a broad categorisation of activities, 2) severity categorisation, 3) Governorate 4) sub-district, 5) HRP indicators, by type of organisation, hub, access status of the community/neighborhood (as per latest UNSC categorisation) and mode or type of facility used to deliver the protection activity.

Each of the infographics separately analyses the actors reporting to 4Ws and those implementing on ground.

For comments or clarifications required, please contact the WoS Protection sector coordinator Nicholas Hart (hart@unhcr.org), NGO co-lead Sameer Saran (sameer.saran@rescue.org) or IMO Ambika Mukund (mukund@unhcr.org).