The Responsibility to Protect (R2P) is a global norm, unanimously adopted by heads of state and government at the 2005 UN World Summit, aimed at preventing and halting Genocide, War Crimes, Ethnic Cleansing and Crimes Against Humanity. R2P stipulates that:

- Every State has the Responsibility to Protect its populations from the four mass atrocity crimes (Pillar I).

- The wider international community has the responsibility to encourage and assist individual States in meeting that responsibility (Pillar II).

- If a State is manifestly failing to protect its populations, the international community must be prepared to take appropriate collective action, in a timely and decisive manner and in accordance with the UN Charter (Pillar III).

R2P Monitor applies the Responsibility to Protect lens to the following situations of concern:

**CURRENT CRISIS**
Mass atrocity crimes are occurring and urgent action is needed.

**IMMINENT RISK**
The situation is reaching a critical threshold and the risk of mass atrocity crimes occurring in the immediate future is very high if effective preventive action is not taken.

**SERIOUS CONCERN**
There is a significant risk of occurrence, or recurrence, of mass atrocity crimes within the foreseeable future if effective action is not taken.

### Current Crisis

- **Myanmar (Burma)** (p. 2)
- **Syria** (p. 4)
- **Iraq** (p. 6)
- **Yemen** (p. 7)
- **DR Congo** (p. 9)

### Imminent Risk

- **South Sudan** (p. 11)
- **CAR** (p. 12)
- **Sudan** (p. 14)
- **Burundi** (p. 15)
- **Philippines** (p. 17)
- **Afghanistan** (p. 18)
- **Somalia** (p. 19)

### Serious Concern

- **South Sudan** (p. 11)
- **CAR** (p. 12)
- **Sudan** (p. 14)
- **Burundi** (p. 15)
- **Philippines** (p. 17)
- **Afghanistan** (p. 18)
- **Somalia** (p. 19)

### R2P Monitor:

- Provides background on populations at risk of mass atrocity crimes, with particular emphasis on key events and actors and their connection to the threat, or commission, of genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity.

- Offers analysis of the country’s past history in relation to mass atrocity crimes; the factors that have enabled their possible commission, or that prevent their resolution; and the receptivity of the situation to positive influences that would assist in preventing further crimes.

- Tracks the international response to the situation with a particular emphasis upon the actions of the United Nations (UN), key regional actors and the International Criminal Court (ICC).

- Suggests necessary action to prevent or halt the commission of mass atrocity crimes.

See GCR2P Populations at Risk webpage for monthly updates. Access constraints have prohibited updated monitoring of DPRK and Eritrea.
Mass atrocity crimes are occurring and urgent action is needed.

Over 615,000 ethnic Rohingya civilians have fled to Bangladesh since 25 August

**MYANMAR (BURMA)**

Atrocities committed against the Rohingya minority represent a policy of ethnic cleansing and constitute crimes against humanity under international law. These crimes may ultimately prove to be genocidal in intent.

**BACKGROUND**

Myanmar's security forces have been carrying out “clearance operations” in Rakhine State since 25 August, after an armed group calling itself the Arakan Rohingya Salvation Army (ARSA) attacked police posts and an army base. Since that date there have been widespread reports of the security forces imposing collective punishment upon the ethnic Rohingya community, including the unlawful killing of civilians, mass displacement, rape, and the burning of at least 288 villages.

At least 615,000 refugees – mostly Rohingya – have fled across the border to escape violence, bringing the total number of Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh to more than 800,000. Myanmar’s authorities have begun seizing Rohingya land across Rakhine State. Local government officials have indicated that confiscated land will now be reclassified for other purposes, and that Rohingya refugees will not necessarily be allowed to return to their previous villages. Local authorities have also begun harvesting crops left by fleeing Rohingya communities, with Rakhine Chief Minister U Nyi Pu claiming that the proceeds will “contribute to the national budget.”

On 11 September the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Zeid Ra’ad Al-Hussein, said that actions taken by the Myanmar authorities represent a “textbook example of ethnic cleansing.” On 11 October the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) issued a report which found that the attacks carried out by Myanmar’s security forces were “well-organised, coordinated and systematic” and were aimed at driving the Rohingya population out of Myanmar and preventing their return.

Myanmar authorities continue to deny access to the UN Fact-Finding Mission mandated by the Human Rights Council to investigate “allegations of human rights violations and abuses by the security forces.” On 27 October experts of the Fact-Finding Mission concluded a visit to Bangladesh, where they interviewed refugees and noted a “consistent, methodical pattern of actions resulting in gross human rights violations affecting hundreds of thousands of people.”

The current crisis in Myanmar began less than a year after authorities concluded another counter-insurgency operation in northern Rakhine State. Between October 2016 and February 2017 there were similar reports of grave human rights violations against Rohingya civilians, which OHCHR said may have amounted to crimes against humanity.

The Rohingya, a distinct Muslim ethnic minority group of approximately 1 million people, have been systematically persecuted by discriminatory laws in Myanmar. The 1982 Citizenship Law does not recognize the Rohingya as one of Myanmar’s “national races,” rendering the population stateless. Rohingyas are subject to severe restrictions on their freedom of movement as well as access to employment and education. The Myanmar government denied Rohingyas the ability to self-identify on the 2014 national census, the first since 1983. Rohingyas were also largely disenfranchised in Myanmar’s historic November 2015 elections. Rohingyas’ rights are further limited by the so-called Protection of Race and Religion laws, which were passed in 2015 and place harsh restrictions on women and non-Buddhists, including on fundamental religious freedoms, as well as reproductive and marital rights.

Rohingyas and the other Muslim populations in Myanmar have also experienced inter-communal violence, often incited by Buddhist chauvinist groups. Clashes between Rakhine Buddhists and Rohingya in 2012 left nearly 200 people dead and 140,000 displaced.

The Advisory Commission on Rakhine State, mandated to provide recommendations on resolving recurring conflict in Rakhine State, submitted its final report to the Myanmar authorities on 24 August. Led by former UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan, the Commission offered practical recommendations to address the root causes of conflict in Rakhine, including through reforming the 1982 Citizenship Law.

**ANALYSIS**

The ongoing “clearance operations” pose an existential threat to the Rohingya population as the security forces impose collective punishment upon civilians for the actions of ARSA. These operations, as well as the planting of landmines along
the border with Bangladesh, are preventing the return of displaced Rohingya to their homes. With violence ongoing in Rakhine State, it is essential that any potential repatriation of Rohingya refugees is conducted on a strictly voluntary basis, in accordance with the 1951 Refugee Convention and the principle of non-refoulement.

The denial of access to the UN Fact-Finding Mission undermines efforts to independently investigate atrocities in Myanmar. The government’s refusal to end discriminatory state policies regarding the Rohingya has encouraged violations of their fundamental human rights and reinforced the dangerous perception of them as ethnic outsiders. The 1982 Citizenship Law and the Protection of Race and Religion laws are intended to eradicate the Rohingya’s legal right to exist as a distinct ethnic group in Myanmar. The democratically elected government has not taken any significant steps to repeal discriminatory laws, end anti-Rohingya policies or halt atrocities which have caused more than 800,000 Rohingyas to flee the country.

The government of Myanmar is failing to uphold its primary Responsibility to Protect the Rohingya and other vulnerable ethnic and religious minority groups.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
On 2 September UN Secretary-General António Guterres sent a letter to the President of the UN Security Council (UNSC), urging Council members to address the situation in Rakhine State and help prevent “a humanitarian catastrophe with implications for peace and security that could continue to expand beyond Myanmar’s borders.”

On 28 September, the UNSC held its first open meeting on Myanmar in eight years. Previously, the UNSC discussed the situation under “any other business” on 30 August, 13 September and 26 September. On 13 October the UNSC held an Arria Formula Meeting with Kofi Annan in his capacity as Chair of the Advisory Commission on Rakhine State.

On 19 October the UN Special Advisers on the Prevention of Genocide and the Responsibility to Protect issued a joint statement highlighting that despite warnings on the risk of mass atrocity crimes in Myanmar, the government and the international community have failed to uphold their responsibility to protect the Rohingya population.

During a pledging conference held on 23 October in Geneva more than $344 million was committed to fund relief programs for Rohingya refugees and host communities in Bangladesh.

On 6 November, ten weeks after the so-called “clearance operations” in Rakhine State began, the UNSC finally adopted a Presidential Statement on the crisis. The statement “strongly condemns the widespread violence” committed against the Rohingya, and calls for the implementation of the recommendations of the Rakhine Commission. The statement also emphasized that Myanmar’s authorities have a responsibility to protect “its population including through respect for the rule of law and the respect, promotion and protection of human rights.”

NECESSARY ACTION
The UNSC must help halt ongoing atrocities in Myanmar and should impose an arms embargo and targeted sanctions directed at senior military officers with command responsibility for forces in Rakhine State.

Individual governments and regional organizations should also impose targeted sanctions and suspend all aid and training programs with Myanmar’s security forces. All international trade, aid and development programs in Rakhine State should be scrupulously reviewed. Myanmar’s military and the local Rakhine authorities must not be allowed to profit from the expulsion of the Rohingya and the seizure of their property.

The Myanmar authorities should permit the UN Human Rights Council-mandated Fact-Finding Mission to enter Rakhine and expeditiously implement the recommendations of the Advisory Commission on Rakhine State. The authorities should also permit humanitarian and human rights organizations unhindered access to vulnerable populations in Rakhine, Kachin and Shan states.

The government must repeal or amend all laws and regulations that systematically discriminate against Rohingya and other minorities in Myanmar, including the Protection of Race and Religion laws and the 1982 Citizenship Law. The government should take proximate steps towards building a more inclusive society in which the rights of all of Myanmar’s diverse populations are protected, regardless of their religion or ethnicity.

MORE INFORMATION
» HRC Resolution, A/HRC/34/L.8/Rev.1, 24 March 2017
» UNSC Presidential Statement, S/PRST/2017/22, 6 November 2017
» GCR2P Populations at Risk: Myanmar (Burma)
Populations continue to face the threat of mass atrocity crimes committed by government forces and their allies in Syria’s ongoing civil war. Various non-state armed groups, including the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, are also committing war crimes and crimes against humanity.

BACKGROUND

Since the Syrian crisis began in 2011 the conflict between the government and armed opposition groups has escalated into a civil war where over 465,000 people have been killed. According to the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), as of April 2017 there were 5 million Syrian refugees and at least 6.3 million internally displaced persons (IDPs) - the largest number of people displaced by any conflict in the world. Over 13.5 million Syrians remain in dire need of humanitarian assistance, with 4.5 million people in inaccessible areas, including at least 419,900 people trapped in 10 besieged communities.

For almost six years the UN Human Rights Council-mandated Commission of Inquiry (Col) has reported that government forces have committed war crimes and crimes against humanity as a matter of state policy. Numerous armed opposition groups have also committed war crimes and violated International Humanitarian Law (IHL). On 6 September 2017 the Col reported that a number of local ceasefire deals negotiated by parties to the conflict have resulted in the forced displacement of civilians.

Despite political negotiations in both Geneva and Astana aimed at ending the civil war, fighting between Syrian government forces and armed opposition groups has continued to escalate, most notably within the north of the country and the suburbs of Damascus. Between 26 September and 5 October at least 10 hospitals in opposition-controlled areas of northern Syria were targeted in what the International Committee of the Red Cross has described as the worst violence since the 2016 battle for eastern Aleppo. The Syrian Observatory for Human Rights (SOHR) documented the deaths of 955 civilians during September and 848 during October, making them the two deadliest months of 2017 for civilians. On 13 November at least 60 people, including 5 children, were killed in airstrikes on a market in an opposition-held town in Aleppo governorate.

Although the so-called Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) no longer controls any major city in Syria, it continues to pose a direct threat to civilians, and its fighters have carried out crimes against humanity, including mass killings, significant civilian casualties. Of the estimated 300,000 people in Raqqa before the civil war, at least 270,000 have since fled or been forcibly displaced. On 2 November the Syrian government also recaptured Deir-Ezzour, the last major city held by ISIL within Syria.

On 17 October the Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF), a coalition of armed opposition groups, recaptured ISIL’s self-proclaimed capital of Ar-Raqqa city following an offensive launched on 6 June with air support from a United States-led international military coalition. Throughout the offensive ISIL used civilians as human shields and often shot those trying to flee areas under its control, while airstrikes by the coalition also caused significant civilian casualties. Of the estimated 300,000 people in Raqqa before the civil war, at least 270,000 have since fled or been forcibly displaced. On 2 November the Syrian government also recaptured Deir-Ezzour, the last major city held by ISIL within Syria.

The Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons-Joint Investigative Mechanism (OPCW-JIM) has previously determined that Syrian government forces used chlorine gas in three separate incidents between 2014 and 2015 and that ISIL was responsible for two sulfur-mustard attacks during 2015 and 2016. The use of chemical weapons is a war crime and also directly contravenes UNSC Resolution 2118. The OPCW-JIM’s latest report determined that the Syrian air force was likely responsible for a 4 April sarin attack on the town of Khan Shaykun, in which more than 80 people, including children, were killed. The OPCW also detected sarin-related chemicals in Ltamenah after a 30 March attack that injured 50 people.

Russia, Iran and Hezbollah militias continue to provide essential economic and military support to the Syrian government. Since September 2015 Russian airstrikes have largely targeted opposition forces and civilian areas outside government control, despite the Russian government claiming their operations are focused on ISIL. The SOHR reported that Russian airstrikes have killed 4,461 ISIL fighters and over 5,960 civilians, including 1,449 children, as of 30 October. According to the SOHR, airstrikes by the United States-led coalition have also killed at least 2,910 civilians since September 2014.

ANALYSIS

All sides in Syria remain committed to military victory and the lives of countless civilians are imperiled by the ongoing civil war. Attacks on medical facilities and civilian infrastructure, as well as the use of illegal weapons, demonstrate a complete disregard for IHL and International Human Rights Law (IHL), and directly contravene UNSC Resolutions 2286 and 2139. Any
local ceasefire agreements reached by the Syrian government and opposition groups that result in the involuntary transfer of civilian populations constitute a violation of IHL.

The Syrian government, with support from its international allies, continues to utilize its military resources to retain power at all costs. The direct participation of Russian and Iranian forces in attacks on civilian populated areas makes them complicit in alleged war crimes. The surge in civilian casualties during United States-led coalition airstrikes on ISIL also raises serious concerns regarding potential violations of IHL.

Saudi Arabia, Turkey and Qatar continue to provide crucial assistance to some armed opposition groups. However, the fracturing and radicalization of the opposition compounds the difficulty of achieving a negotiated political settlement. Meanwhile, ISIL and several other armed groups pose an ongoing threat to civilians, especially those from minority religious communities.

The UNSC has been unable to enforce compliance with its resolutions, with bitter divisions over Syria evident amongst the permanent members. Despite the current political impasse, Russia, United States, Iran, Turkey and Saudi Arabia remain essential to any potential negotiated settlement of the conflict.

The government of Syria has not only manifestly failed to uphold its Responsibility to Protect, it bears primary responsibility for the ongoing commission of mass atrocity crimes.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE

Following the outbreak of violence during March 2011, the international community responded by censuring the Syrian government for its widespread violations of human rights. The CoI, former UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon and the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights have all called for the situation in Syria to be referred to the ICC.

Despite this, the UNSC has failed to adequately respond. Since 2013, the UNSC has passed resolutions on humanitarian access, peace talks and chemical weapons in Syria. Several of these refer to the government’s responsibility to protect populations, but none have been fully implemented. Meanwhile, Russia and China have jointly vetoed six UNSC draft resolutions and Russia has independently vetoed a further three resolutions. On 24 October Russia vetoed a resolution that would have renewed the mandate of the OPCW-JIM for an additional year. The mandate is due to expire on 17 November.

On 21 December 2016 the UN General Assembly voted to establish an International, Impartial and Independent Mechanism (IIIM) to assist in the investigation and prosecution of perpetrators of atrocities in Syria. The UN Human Rights Council has adopted 23 resolutions condemning atrocities in Syria. The latest, adopted on 27 September, called upon all member states to actively support the IIIM. The resolution also demands the Syrian authorities uphold their responsibility to protect the population.

NECESSARY ACTION

In keeping with various UNSC resolutions, Syrian government forces, armed opposition groups and international parties to the conflict must facilitate unimpeded humanitarian access to all civilians trapped or displaced by fighting. The UNSC must take proximate steps to halt atrocities and help end the civil war. The UNSC should demand UN access to monitor de-escalation zones in order to ensure the wellbeing of civilians.

UN member states should fully cooperate with the IIIM and facilitate its work through the provision of voluntary funding. The IIIM should be incorporated into the UN’s regular budget.

Russia, Iran and Hezbollah must cease enabling the crimes of the Syrian government. Countries opposed to the rule of President Bashar al-Assad must withhold all support from armed groups who commit war crimes and target civilians.

Foreign states participating in airstrikes against ISIL must ensure all necessary precautions are taken to avoid civilian casualties and that all military operations are fully consistent with international law. All potential violations, including possible war crimes, must be thoroughly investigated and perpetrators held accountable.

MORE INFORMATION

» UN General Assembly Resolution, A/RES/71/248, 21 December 2016

» UN HRC Resolution, A/HRC/36/L.22, 27 September 2017

» GCR2P Populations at Risk: Syria
The armed extremist group the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant has committed genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity in Iraq. As they confront ISIL, some Iraqi Security Forces, Kurdish Peshmerga and Shia militias have also committed possible war crimes.

BACKGROUND

During July 2014 ISIL seized vast territory across northern Iraq. Since then, a coalition comprised mainly of the Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) and Kurdish Peshmerga, operating with United States air support, has been fighting to recapture cities from ISIL. Despite ISIL still controlling pockets of territory across northern Iraq – particularly in western Anbar governorate – the government of Iraq announced the successful liberation of Mosul during July 2017 and Hawija during October, marking two major defeats for the group. Sporadic clashes with ISIL fighters continue across parts of Nineveh governorate, where approximately 1 million people remain displaced.

According to a joint report by OHCHR and the UN Assistance Mission for Iraq (UNAMI), at least 2,521 civilians were killed during the military operation to retake Mosul. During the battles for Mosul and Hawija ISIL fighters used civilians as human shields and targeted and killed civilians attempting to flee areas under their control.

Despite being in retreat, ISIL continues to systematically attack and persecute vulnerable ethnic and religious minorities, including Christians, Shabak, Yazidis and Turkmen. UNAMI and the OHCHR have reported that ISIL’s past violations, “may amount to war crimes, crimes against humanity and possibly genocide.”

OHCHR and UNAMI have reported that at least 74 mass graves have been found in formerly ISIL-held territory since June 2014. On 12 November the ISF reported the discovery of an additional mass grave near Hawija which contains up to 400 bodies of civilians and security personnel.

The UN CoI on Syria has also reported that ISIL “has committed the crime of genocide as well as multiple crimes against humanity and war crimes against the Yazidis” in Iraq. It is estimated that approximately 3,300 members of the Yazidi community remain in ISIL captivity, including over 1,600 women and girls. ISIL also routinely targets civilians from the majority Shia population in sectarian attacks.

The United States-led coalition has been conducting airstrikes against ISIL in Iraq since August 2014, following the Iraqi government’s request for assistance after the group seized the northern town of Sinjar. The coalition has been responsible for hundreds of civilian deaths this year, including up to 200 civilians killed in a single airstrike in Mosul on 17 March.

OHCHR has expressed concern at reports of forced evictions and killings committed by Iraqi and Kurdish security forces and affiliated militias against Sunni communities in parts of Iraq that have been reclaimed from ISIL. Since Mosul was retaken, there have been reports of torture, extrajudicial killings and other reprisals against suspected ISIL members and their families.

Following an independence referendum on 25 September, growing political tensions between the Kurdistan Regional Government (KRG) and the central government in Baghdad threatened to result in armed conflict. On 15 October the ISF forcibly retook the city of Kirkuk from the KRG, resulting in sporadic armed clashes. The Peshmerga command released a statement saying that Baghdad, “bears the main responsibility for triggering war on the Kurdistan people, and will be made to pay a heavy price.” On 27 October the ISF and Peshmerga reportedly reached a provisional agreement to end fighting between their forces in northern Iraq. Both the ISF and Peshmerga remain engaged in ongoing military operations against ISIL.

OCHA estimates that as of September, 11 million people in Iraq – one third of the population – are still in need of humanitarian assistance, with 3.2 million people internally displaced.

ANALYSIS

Despite losing significant territory during 2017, ISIL still poses a threat to Iraq’s ethnic and religious minorities, who face the risk of further mass atrocities. ISIL’s sectarian violence also poses a direct threat to members of the majority Shia community. ISIL is committed to the extermination of all religious communities and minority cultures that do not conform to its strict interpretation of Islam.

The recapture of Mosul and Hawija marked major steps towards defeating ISIL in Iraq. As the area controlled by ISIL shrinks the group will likely increase terrorist attacks across Iraq. It remains essential that all parties combating ISIL ensure the protection of civilians and uphold their obligations under international law.

Despite a November 2010 power-sharing agreement between political parties representing Shias, Sunnis and Kurds, many Sunnis felt marginalized under former President Nouri al-Maliki.
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Mass atrocity crimes are being committed in Yemen as pro-government forces and a regional military coalition fight against Houthi rebels, who still control much of the country.

BACKGROUND
Violence between Houthi rebels and various pro-government forces, as well as airstrikes by a Saudi Arabia-led international coalition, have killed more than 10,000 people since March 2015. Although the UN estimates that 5,000 civilians have been killed, the actual death toll is likely to be considerably higher. The ongoing conflict has also resulted in a humanitarian catastrophe in which at least seven million people are at risk of famine.

Despite several temporary ceasefire agreements and intermittent UN-brokered peace talks between the government and Houthi rebels, the conflict in Yemen continues to leave civilians facing mass atrocity crimes. The last attempted ceasefire, on 19 November 2016, collapsed within 48 hours and political negotiations have been suspended for over a year. Indiscriminate shelling and airstrikes continue, and during recent months fighting has escalated across Taizz governorate and around Sana’a.

On 4 November Houthi forces fired a ballistic missile into Saudi Arabia that was shot down outside Riyadh. In retaliation Saudi Arabia closed all sea and air ports in Yemen, intensifying its blockade of the country. Yemen imports 90 percent of its staple food supplies.

The Iraqi government needs ongoing international assistance to uphold its Responsibility to Protect.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
In addition to international support for the Iraqi government, several European Union member states, as well as Albania and Canada, have provided assistance to Kurdish fighters battling ISIL.

On 14 July the UNSC adopted Resolution 2367, renewing the mandate of UNAMI until 31 July 2018.

Following a request by the Iraqi government for international assistance to pursue accountability for atrocities perpetrated by ISIL, on 21 September the UNSC authorized the establishment of an Investigative Team to support domestic accountability efforts by collecting evidence regarding potential war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide in Iraq. The team will be headed by a Special Adviser to be appointed by the Secretary-General, and will consist of both international and domestic experts.

NECESSARY ACTION
While continuing to battle ISIL and other armed extremist groups, it is essential that the Iraqi government protects all civilians and addresses the underlying sources of conflict between Sunnis, Shias and Kurds. The government of Iraq and the KRG should actively prevent any further political polarization and armed conflict.

As anti-ISIL operations continue, both the government of Iraq and the KRG must consistently uphold their obligations under IHL. All relevant authorities should investigate and punish human rights abuses and actively prevent reprisals against Sunni civilians in areas recaptured from ISIL.

UN member states should fully cooperate with the Investigative Team established by Iraq and the UNSC, and provide technical assistance. The government of Iraq should adopt enabling legislation to incorporate genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity into domestic law. All perpetrators of atrocities in Iraq, regardless of position or affiliation, should be held accountable for their crimes.

OVER 910,000 CASES OF CHOLERA SINCE MAY
7 MILLION PEOPLE FACING POSSIBLE FAMINE

MORE INFORMATION
» UNAMI Website
» "Human Rights, Every Day, for All Iraqis: Promotion and Protection of Rights of Victims of Sexual Violence Captured by ISIL/or in Areas Controlled by ISIL in Iraq," UNAMI and OHCHR, 22 August 2017
» UNSC Resolution, S/RES/2379, 21 September 2017
» GCR2P Populations at Risk: Iraq
Yemen is now the largest humanitarian crisis in the world. More than 2.9 million Yemenis have been forcibly displaced while an estimated 21 million people – over three quarters of the population – require humanitarian assistance. Since a cholera outbreak began in May, over 911,000 cases have been reported and at least 2,195 people have died.

During 2014, amidst a UN-facilitated political transition process, the Houthis, an armed movement originating amongst the Shia population in northeast Yemen, and military units loyal to deposed President Ali Abdullah Saleh, took control of the governorates of Sa’ada, Hodeida, Dhamar, Amran and Sana’a. On 26 March 2015 Saudi Arabia and a coalition of nine other countries responded to a government request for regional military intervention. The Houthis and pro-Saleh forces still control much of northwestern Yemen.

Throughout the conflict Houthi and government-allied forces have targeted civilian infrastructure, including schools and hospitals, as well as international humanitarian workers. The UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Zeid Ra’ad Al Hussein, has said that respect for the distinction between civilian and military targets has been “woefully inadequate” by all sides. The UN Secretary-General’s annual report on children and armed conflict documented the deaths of 502 children in Yemen during 2016, noting that the Saudi-led military coalition was responsible for killing or wounding at least 683 children, while the Houthis were responsible for 414 casualties. The coalition was also responsible for attacks on 28 schools and 10 hospitals during 2016.

OHCHR has reported that both sides have committed violations of IHL and violations and abuses of IHRL. On 19 December 2016 Saudi Arabia confirmed that it had used illegal cluster munitions in Yemen. On 20 April Human Rights Watch reported that Houthi-Saleh forces have used banned anti-personnel mines. The UN Children’s Agency (UNICEF) has also reported that at least 1,500 child soldiers were recruited during 2016. According to OHCHR the conflict has also resulted in increased religious persecution of the country’s Bahá’í population.

Other armed groups continue to take advantage of the conflict to perpetrate violence against civilians. Al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP) has gained influence, although it has retreated from several cities it temporarily controlled in 2015. Since March 2015 ISIL has also claimed responsibility for a series of attacks on Shia mosques and car bombings in Sana’a and Aden.

While Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates remain the main forces backing the regional military coalition, Iran has provided some military assistance to the Houthis. Civilian casualties resulting from airstrikes by the Saudi-led coalition have resulted in public pressure for the United States and United Kingdom to cease selling arms to Saudi Arabia. Fighting between Houthi and pro-government forces also threatens to further fracture Yemeni society along tribal lines. Terrorist groups, such as AQAP and ISIL, are trying to exploit tensions between Shia and Sunni populations to increase their influence.

All sides of the conflict appear manifestly unwilling or unable to uphold their Responsibility to Protect.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
During 2011 the UNSC adopted Resolution 2014, which condemned human rights violations by the government of former President Saleh and affirmed Yemen’s primary responsibility to protect its population. The UNSC imposed sanctions on former President Saleh and Houthi leaders in November 2014. On 14 April 2015 the UNSC passed Resolution 2216, establishing an arms embargo against Houthi leaders and some supporters of former President Saleh, and demanding the Houthis withdraw from all areas they had militarily seized. On 23 February 2017 the UNSC renewed sanctions for an additional year and extended the mandate of the Panel of Experts on Yemen.

On 13 December 2016 the United States announced that because of concerns regarding Yemen, it would halt some arms sales to Saudi Arabia. However, during President Donald Trump’s May visit to Saudi Arabia, the United States announced a potential arms deal worth almost $110 billion, including ending the moratorium on selling precision-guided munitions.

On 15 June the UNSC adopted a Presidential Statement calling for greater facilitation of humanitarian access and deployment of additional monitors for the UN Verification and Inspection Mechanism for Yemen (UNVIM).

On 29 September the UN Human Rights Council adopted a resolution establishing a group of Eminent International and Regional Experts to monitor and report on the human rights situation in Yemen.

NECESSARY ACTION
The dire humanitarian situation in Yemen is a direct result of the ongoing armed conflict and requires a political solution. The UNSC and regional powers need to facilitate a sustained ceasefire and ensure that parties to the conflict return to substantive peace negotiations.

The distinction between military and civilian targets is central to IHL and must be adhered to at all times. Parties to the conflict must halt the use of illegal and indiscriminate weapons, including...
cluster munitions and landmines. UN member states should also immediately halt the sale of weapons to parties to the conflict who routinely violate IHL.

All parties to the conflict must also ensure full and effective humanitarian access. The Saudi-led coalition must immediately reopen all sea and air ports, especially in Hodeidah and Sana’a, for essential relief supplies.

The UN High Commissioner for Human Rights should appoint the Group of Experts and expeditiously facilitate their operationalization.

MORE INFORMATION
» UNSC Presidential Statement, S/PRST/2017/7, 15 June 2017
» The situation of human rights in Yemen, including violations and abuses since September 2014, OCHCR, 5 September 2017
» UN HRC Resolution A/HRC/36/L.4, 29 September 2017
» GCR2P Populations at Risk: Yemen

OHCHR has documented evidence of the FARDC, Kamuina Nsapu and Bana Mura all committing extrajudicial killings. MONUSCO has reported hundreds of schools and a number of health centers in the Kasai region have been destroyed or attacked during fighting. The UN has also documented hundreds of children being used as combatants or human shields by Kamuina Nsapu, as well as sexual violence perpetrated against young girls. Meanwhile, Bana Mura has targeted populations based upon ethnicity, mutilated women and children, and destroyed villages of alleged supporters of Kamuina Nsapu.

Political violence related to the postponed 2016 elections also continues. Security forces have been accused of using excessive force against opposition demonstrators. UNHCR recorded that 98 civilians were killed during protests in September and December 2016.

As a result of a failure to hold elections during 2016, mediation between the government and opposition took place under the aegis of the Conference Episcopale du Congo (CENCO). On 31 December the negotiations resulted in an agreement calling for elections to be held during 2017 and for President Joseph Kabila to abstain from seeking a third term. Implementation of the agreement was delayed, resulting in CENCO eventually withdrawing from the process. On 5 November the government announced that presidential elections would be held on 23 December 2018, prompting protests by the opposition.

ANALYSIS
Rising tensions in areas that have been relatively calm in recent years, including the Kasais, is indicative of the enduring challenge of building effective governance and political stability in the DRC. Growing violence in the Kasai region and evidence implicating
the government in attacks targeting certain ethnic groups increases the risk of further atrocities.

Competition for control of profitable minerals, as well as underlying conflict between communities that consider themselves indigenous and those perceived as outsiders, has enabled the proliferation of armed groups in the DRC. Such groups will continue to emerge even after the eradication of the ADF, FDLR, Kamuina Nsapu and other Mayi-Mayi militias if these issues are not resolved. Mayi-Mayi militias have also been increasingly involved in inter-communal violence in eastern DRC, including between Twa communities in Tanganyika.

Despite diplomatic pressure from the international community, the government has not undertaken a meaningful investigation into allegations that hundreds of people have been killed by the FARDC in the Kasaï region. Growing government repression and the population’s frustration with the unconstitutional delay in elections enhances the risk of further instability and conflict.

The government of the DRC has struggled to uphold its Responsibility to Protect and its own forces have been complicit in some previous mass atrocity crimes. The DRC needs ongoing international support to prevent recurring conflict.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
On 31 March 2017 the UNSC extended MONUSCO’s mandate until March 2018, emphasizing that the DRC government “bears the primary responsibility to protect civilians within its territory and subject to its jurisdiction, including protection from crimes against humanity and war crimes.” The resolution reduced MONUSCO’s troop ceiling from 19,815 to 16,215 despite serious security challenges.

On 31 May the European Union imposed sanctions on nine individuals with command responsibility for security forces involved in deadly violence against protestors. The following day the United States issued sanctions against François Olenga for command responsibility of the Republican Guard during violence against the political opposition in Kinshasa. The UNSC also currently subjects 9 entities and 31 individuals connected to armed groups in the eastern DRC to sanctions.

On 23 June the UN Human Rights Council passed a resolution mandating the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights to appoint a team of international experts to collect evidence and determine responsibility for possible atrocities perpetrated in the Kasai region. On 29 September UN Human Rights Council passed a resolution on technical assistance to the DRC, reminding the government of its responsibility to protect populations.

On 30 October the UNSC issued a Press Statement calling upon parties to the 31 December agreement to remain committed to its implementation. The UNSC also condemned ongoing violence in the DRC. On 7 November the UNSC issued a Presidential Statement reiterating the need to fully investigate the deaths of two members of the UN Panel of Experts who were murdered in the Kasai region earlier this year.

NECESSARY ACTION
The DRC government and MONUSCO need to ensure that protecting civilians remains their primary priority as they address the ongoing threat posed by various armed groups in both the Kasaï and eastern regions. The government must halt support for Bana Mura and condemn the targeting of civilians based upon ethnicity.

The government must urgently address allegations of the security forces using disproportionate and deadly force and ensure accountability for the unlawful killing of civilians. The FARDC must not permit individuals who have previously committed atrocities to remain in its forces and should train all recruits in the protection of civilians, respect for human rights and IHL.

The government should fully cooperate with the Human Rights Council-mandated international team of experts, provide access to all relevant sites and establish a credible domestic investigative mechanism. If the government fails to fulfill its promises in this regard, the ICC and UNSC should be prepared to act to ensure accountability.

The government must take meaningful steps towards holding elections and ensuring a timely and peaceful transition of power in the DRC.
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In addition to the latest fighting, there are rumors of internecine power struggles within the government. During May President Kiir dismissed Army Chief of Staff Paul Malong, placing him under house arrest. The SPLA surrounded Malong’s home from 4-11 November and attempted to disarm his bodyguards, fearing that he was about to launch a rebellion against the government.

Despite the ARCSS, fighting between the SPLA and SPLA-IO initially reignited during July 2016 when widespread violence broke out in Juba. In response, on 12 August 2016 the UNSC adopted Resolution 2304, authorizing the deployment of the 4,000-strong Regional Protection Force (RPF), proposed by the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD), to support the UN Mission in South Sudan (UNMISS). After multiple delays imposed by the government, RPF troops started to arrive during April.

The ARCSS called for a permanent ceasefire, as well as the establishment of an independent Hybrid Court for South Sudan to investigate atrocities committed during the conflict. Between 2013-2015 at least 50,000 people were killed as parties to the civil war engaged in war crimes and crimes against humanity, including widespread extrajudicial killings, torture, child abductions and sexual violence, with both sides targeting civilians as part of their military tactics. The government has repeatedly delayed the formation of the Hybrid Court. On 26 July 2017 the African Union (AU) and the government of South Sudan issued a joint roadmap for the establishment of the Court. The two parties have since drafted a Memorandum of Understanding, which the government has not yet signed.

During mid-2017 IGAD launched the High-Level Revitalization Forum in an attempt to reinvigorate the ARCSS. The Forum will finally commence during December. Meanwhile, the government has appointed a Steering Committee to launch its own National Dialogue process.

ANALYSIS

Political instability and armed violence have been pervasive in South Sudan for the majority of its six years of independence. As the dry season begins, the potential for a resumption of widespread fighting leaves civilians at imminent risk of further mass atrocity crimes. The government continues to obstruct UNMISS and humanitarian organizations while spending a large part of its national budget on arms.

The ARCSS has never been fully implemented and the root causes of the conflict have not been addressed. The Transitional Government of National Unity, established by the agreement, exists in name only. A pervasive culture of impunity has fueled recurring cycles of armed violence and mass atrocities in South Sudan.
Sudan. On 26 September the UN Special Representative to South Sudan, David Shearer, said that parties to the conflict show little interest in advancing the peace process.

With ongoing resource deficits and a hostile operating environment, UNMISS is still struggling to protect vulnerable populations. South Sudan is also the deadliest country in the world for humanitarian workers, with more than 80 killed since December 2013, including 18 this year.

The government of South Sudan is manifestly failing to uphold its Responsibility to Protect.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE

During May 2017 the UNSC adopted Resolution 2253 extending the sanctions regime until 31 May 2018 and the mandate of the Panel of Experts until 30 June 2018. Six senior military figures, three from both the SPLA and SPLA-IO, are currently subject to sanctions.

On 15 December 2016 the UNSC adopted a resolution extending UNMISS’ mandate for an additional year. The resolution also authorized UNMISS to monitor, investigate and report on incidents of hate speech and incitement to violence in cooperation with the UN Office on Genocide Prevention and the Responsibility to Protect. On 23 December 2016 the UN failed to adopt a resolution authorizing an arms embargo and further targeted sanctions when eight members of the Council abstained from voting.

On 20 March 2017 the UN Human Rights Council adopted a resolution extending the mandate of the Commission on Human Rights in South Sudan for an additional year and authorizing it to preserve evidence and clarify responsibility for alleged gross violations and abuses of human rights. The resolution also emphasized “that the Government of South Sudan has the primary responsibility to protect all populations in the country.”

NECESSARY ACTION

The government must fully implement all provisions of the ARCSS and UNSC Resolution 2304 and fully cooperate with the High-Level Revitalization Forum. The government, SPLA, SPLA-IO and affiliated militias must ensure that UNMISS is able to move freely and without threat to its personnel. The inviolability of UN compounds must be respected. UNMISS must be enabled to fully implement its mandate, especially regarding providing protection to vulnerable civilians.

The UNSC and IGAD should immediately impose an arms embargo on South Sudan and expand targeted sanctions against senior military officers and politicians deemed to be exacerbating or profiting from the ongoing conflict.

The AU and the government should expeditiously establish the Hybrid Court in accordance with the 26 July roadmap and ensure it has the resources to investigate and prosecute individuals responsible for mass atrocities committed since December 2013. The government, AU and international community must hold those responsible for past atrocities in South Sudan accountable, regardless of their affiliation or position.

MORE INFORMATION:

» UNMISS Website
» UNSC Resolution, S/RES/2327, 15 December 2016
» HRC Resolution, A/HRC/34/L.34, 20 March 2017
» GCR2P Populations at Risk: South Sudan

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

Populations in the Central African Republic face an imminent risk of mass atrocity crimes committed by various armed groups and militias.

BACKGROUND

Civilians in the Central African Republic (CAR) continue to face an imminent risk of mass atrocity crimes. Since May 2017 escalating attacks by armed groups, including in areas previously unaffected by large-scale fighting, have resulted in hundreds of people killed and tens of thousands displaced.

The recent violence, largely concentrated in the central and eastern prefectures of Mbomou, Haute-Kotto and Basse-Kotto, is primarily driven by three armed groups: the predominantly Christian anti-balaka and two former members of the Séléka rebel alliance, the Union pour la Paix en Centrafrique (UPC) and the Front Populaire pour la Renaissance de la Centrafrique (FPRC). Sporadic violence is also occurring in the northwest of CAR, particularly in Batangafo and Ngaoundaye. UNICEF has warned that children have been targeted during recent attacks, with reports of rape, abduction and recruitment into armed groups. At least 821 civilians were killed between January and August this year.

The FPRC, at times in collaboration with anti-balaka, have systematically targeted ethnic Fulani for attack. In response,
armed Fulani self-defense groups have perpetrated violent reprisals, sometimes in collaboration with the UPC. Attacks by anti-balaka militias against Muslim communities have also intensified, with more than 120 civilians killed in two separate incidents in the Basse-Kotto prefecture between 11-18 October.

Direct attacks against humanitarian staff and UN peacekeepers have resulted in the death of 12 peacekeepers and 13 humanitarian workers since the beginning of 2017. As a result, several humanitarian agencies have suspended their work in some areas, and the UN humanitarian coordinator for CAR has warned that their withdrawal is leaving civilians at risk of starvation.

The current crisis in CAR has its origins in the overthrow of President François Bozizé on 24 March 2013 by the mainly-Muslim Séléka rebel alliance. Abuses by the Séléka led to the formation of anti-balaka militias. A report published by the UN peacekeeping mission in CAR (MINUSCA) and OHCHR during May 2017 found that both anti-balaka and ex-Séléka forces may have committed war crimes and crimes against humanity between 2013-2015.

On 5 October 2017 Human Rights Watch reported that sexual violence against the civilian population has been used as a tactic by both the Séléka and anti-balaka since early 2013. Widespread and systematic rape and sexual slavery, perpetrated across large parts of the country, may constitute war crimes and crimes against humanity.

There are currently over 600,000 IDPs in CAR – a 50 percent increase since January - and more than 500,000 people have taken refuge in neighboring countries.

ANALYSIS

Governmental control remains extremely limited in most areas outside Bangui, allowing rival armed groups to expand their influence. In addition to the anti-balaka, UPC and FPRC, at least 11 other armed groups operate throughout CAR with shifting alliances. These groups compete for territory, power and resources, including several mining sites. According to the UN, an estimated 70 percent of the country – 14 out of 16 provinces - is still controlled by armed groups.

Attacks by the anti-balaka and FPRC against Muslim and Fulani communities demonstrate the ongoing threat of civilians being targeted because of their religious or ethnic identity. The anti-balaka have engaged in hate speech and incitement against Muslims, referring to them as “foreign mercenaries” who must be “driven out” of the country.

Sexual violence committed against women and girls has been used as a weapon by various armed groups and continues due to a pervasive culture of impunity. Despite the establishment of the Special Criminal Court for CAR, perpetrators have not been held accountable.

MINUSCA, which in many parts of the country is the only force capable of maintaining security, continues to face critical capacity gaps that impede its ability to consistently uphold its mandate to protect civilians. Allegations of sexual abuse by UN peacekeepers have further weakened MINUSCA’s public reputation.

The CAR government requires sustained international assistance to uphold its Responsibility to Protect.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE

The UNSC has passed ten resolutions since October 2013 that emphasize the government’s responsibility to protect all populations in CAR, including Resolution 2339 of 27 January 2017, which renewed sanctions and an arms embargo until 31 January 2018.

On 4 April 2017 the UNSC issued a Presidential Statement condemning violence by the FPRC and UPC, urging them to commit to the disarmament and demobilization process. The statement also emphasized the importance of accountability and called for the operationalization of the Special Criminal Court, which was approved by the government in June 2015.

On 23 May 2017 the Prosecutor of the ICC issued a statement regarding the “serious crimes committed against civilians, peacekeepers and humanitarian workers,” noting that such crimes may fall under the court’s jurisdiction. In a 13 July Presidential Statement, the UNSC emphasized that deliberate attacks on civilians and humanitarian workers constitute crimes under international law.

In response to the recent resurgence of violence, on 6 October the UN Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide, Adama Dieng, undertook a 5-day visit to CAR. The UN Secretary-General also visited CAR from 24-27 October, ahead of MINUSCA’s mandate renewal.

On 15 November the UNSC adopted Resolution 2387, increasing MINUSCA by an additional 900 troops in order to protect civilians and prevent any further deterioration of the security situation. The additional peacekeepers will bring the total number of MINUSCA military personnel to 11,650.

NECESSARY ACTION

MINUSCA should deploy to all areas where civilians lack sufficient protection and improve its operational capacity to rapidly respond to emerging threats.

Notwithstanding its numerous reconstruction, reconciliation and security challenges, the government should prioritize accountability for mass atrocity crimes, including by cooperating with the ICC. Significant financial and logistical resources are still needed to operationalize the Special Criminal Court. MINUSCA should assist the authorities to initiate investigations and ensure accountability for mass atrocity crimes.
The international community must enable the government to uphold its Responsibility to Protect, including through supporting structural reforms of the justice and security sectors.

SERIOUS CONCERN

There is a significant risk of occurrence, or recurrence, of mass atrocity crimes within the foreseeable future if effective action is not taken.

SUDAN

Populations in South Kordofan, Blue Nile and Darfur face the risk of recurring armed conflict and mass atrocity crimes perpetrated by the Sudanese Armed Forces, affiliated militias and armed rebel groups.

BACKGROUND

For six years the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and armed rebels of the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement-North (SPLM-N) have been engaged in armed hostilities in South Kordofan and Blue Nile states. OCHA estimates that there are as many as 700,000 IDPs spread across government and SPLM-N controlled territory in the two states, while nearly 300,000 people have fled to neighboring countries. The government of Sudan prohibits access to South Kordofan and Blue Nile and systematically obstructs aid from reaching vulnerable civilians. During July the UN Independent Expert on the human rights situation in Sudan reported that despite a significant decline in fighting during 2017, recurring armed conflict continues to pose a serious threat to civilians in Darfur, South Kordofan and Blue Nile.

The SAF has previously committed war crimes, including extrajudicial killings, forced displacement and widespread sexual violence against civilians in South Kordofan, Blue Nile and Darfur. It has also engaged in “scorched earth” tactics, systematically targeting food sources and deliberately destroying civilian infrastructure, including health facilities. Amnesty International has reported that the SAF perpetrated at least 30 suspected chemical weapons attacks against civilians in Darfur between January and September 2016. The SPLM-N and their armed wing, the SPLA-N, have also perpetrated war crimes, including indiscriminate attacks on civilian-populated areas, alleged recruitment of children and attacks on UN personnel.

During 2016 the AU High Level Implementation Panel (AUHIP) for Sudan proposed a Roadmap agreement to help end the conflicts in Darfur, South Kordofan and Blue Nile. Despite the Sudanese government and a number of rebel groups, including the SPLM-N, signing the Roadmap, the parties failed to agree on a permanent cessation of hostilities. On 8 October President Omar al-Bashir extended a unilateral ceasefire for Blue Nile and South Kordofan states until 31 December 2017. On 31 July the SPLM-N declared a unilateral ceasefire until 31 January 2018.

Civilians in Darfur continue to face the threat of recurring inter-communal violence, as well as possible attacks by the SAF and Rapid Support Forces (RSF), a pro-government militia. As of December, a total of 2.7 million people were still displaced in Darfur. During May the AU and UN reported that the overall security situation in parts of Darfur remains precarious due to activities of militia groups and the proliferation of arms.

The government continues to systematically obstruct the AU-UN hybrid peacekeeping force in Darfur (UNAMID), tasked with a civilian protection mandate, from carrying out human rights monitoring. Since 2008 more than 70 UNAMID peacekeepers have also been killed.

ANALYSIS

The government has a history of perpetrating atrocities in Darfur, South Kordofan and Blue Nile. It has consistently defied external pressure to hold perpetrators of these atrocities accountable.

The UNSC and AU have failed to ensure that the government and SPLM-N honor past agreements on the cessation of
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hostilities and delivery of humanitarian assistance. Previous attacks on civilian areas by the SAF and SPLA-N demonstrate an unwillingness to distinguish between combatants and civilians, actions that may amount to war crimes and crimes against humanity.

Since its deployment in Darfur in 2008, UNAMID has been unable to consistently provide adequate protection to civilians. Sporadic inter-communal violence and SAF operations contribute to the risk of further mass atrocity crimes. The alleged use of chemical weapons and attacks on UN peacekeepers constitute war crimes, while restrictions on UNAMID’s freedom of movement contravene the Status of Forces Agreement between the UN, AU and Sudan. While the overall level of violence in Darfur has decreased during 2017, several areas, especially Jebel Marra, still require a robust peacekeeping presence.

International pressure is necessary to ensure that the government of Sudan upholds its Responsibility to Protect and is held accountable for mass atrocity crimes previously committed in South Kordofan, Blue Nile and Darfur.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
The UNSC has adopted at least 57 resolutions on Sudan since 2004, most of which have not been fully implemented.

Following a UNSC referral, in June 2005 the ICC opened an investigation into the situation in Darfur. Between 2007 and 2014 the ICC issued arrest warrants for three Sudanese government officials, including multiple warrants for President Omar al-Bashir on charges of war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide. The ICC also issued warrants for one pro-government and two anti-government militia leaders. None of the indictees have been surrendered to the Court and the Chief Prosecutor of the ICC has repeatedly criticized the UNSC for failing to meaningfully assist in their arrest.

On 29 June the UNSC adopted Resolution 2363, which extended UNAMID’s mandate until 30 June 2018. The Council mandated a phased reduction of the military component of UNAMID by 44 percent and the police component by 30 percent over twelve months.

On 6 October the United States lifted sanctions against Sudan.

NECESSARY ACTION
The government, SPLM-N and other parties must abide by the AUHIP Roadmap and permanently end armed hostilities in Darfur, South Kordofan and Blue Nile. The UNSC and AU should ensure the government and SPLM-N facilitate the delivery of humanitarian assistance to vulnerable civilians.

Following the reconfiguration of UNAMID, the UNSC must closely monitor the precarious security situation in Darfur. The UNSC should also actively support efforts to bring ICC indictees to justice. States parties to the Rome Statute of the ICC should deny President Bashir entry to their country or should comply with ICC warrants and arrest him.

MORE INFORMATION
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BURUNDI
Populations in Burundi face a risk of potential mass atrocity crimes as systematic human rights violations and abuses continue.

BACKGROUND
Ongoing violations and abuses of human rights leave populations in Burundi at risk of mass atrocity crimes. The UN Human Rights Council-mandated Commission of Inquiry on Burundi has found that potential crimes against humanity may have been committed in the country since April 2015, including arbitrary arrests and detentions, torture, extrajudicial killings, enforced disappearances and rape.

Violations and abuses of human rights have primarily been carried out by the National Intelligence Service and the police, sometimes in collaboration with the Imbonerakure, the youth wing and de facto militia of the ruling party, Conseil National Pour la Défense de la Démocratie–Forces pour la Défense de la Démocratie (CNDD-FDD). Opposition elements have also been accused of assassinations and grenade attacks in Bujumbura.

More than 1,200 people have been killed in politically-inspired violence since April 2015, while an unknown number of individuals have been the victims of enforced disappearances. Over 400,000 Burundian refugees remain in neighboring countries and almost 200,000 Burundians have been internally displaced.

The initial crisis developed following the April 2015 announcement by the CNDD-FDD that President Pierre Nkurunziza would seek
a third presidential term. This was regarded by many as violating the 2000 Arusha Peace Agreement, which ended a civil war that claimed over 350,000 lives between 1993 and 2005. Following a failed coup and protest violence, President Nkurunziza was elected for a third term during July 2015.

President Nkurunziza has suggested he may run for a fourth term in 2020 and has appointed a 15-member commission to propose draft amendments to the constitution. The constitution, based on the Arusha Peace Agreement, currently restricts presidential term limits and sets ethnic quotas for state institutions. On 24 October the Burundian government approved draft changes to the constitution, which would allow incumbent President Nkurunziza to potentially run for office for another two seven-year terms.

During 2016 the government undertook steps to isolate itself from the UN and AU. The East African Community (EAC) has attempted to mediate between the government and opposition parties, but talks have stalled. The Forces Populaire du Burundi, a rebel group located in the DRC, has vowed to increase armed attacks against the government.

ANALYSIS
Recurring political and ethnic conflict have previously resulted in mass atrocity crimes in Burundi. Incitement to violence, enforced disappearances, torture, rape and extrajudicial killings have contributed to a climate of fear and insecurity. Despite the precarious security situation and ongoing serious violations of human rights, the government has repeatedly called for Burundian refugees to return.

The government's refusal to cooperate with the UNSC, the Human Rights Council's Commission of Inquiry, OHCHR and ICC is a disturbing indication of its unwillingness to engage with the international community and adhere to international law.

The government is failing to uphold its Responsibility to Protect all Burundians, regardless of ethnicity or political affiliation.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE

On 29 July 2016 the UNSC passed Resolution 2303, authorizing up to 228 UN police to monitor the security and human rights situation. The government of Burundi refused to accept the monitors. One year later the UNSC adopted a Presidential Statement expressing the Council's intention to pursue targeted measures against those who threaten the peace and security of Burundi.

On 30 September 2016 the UN Human Rights Council created a Commission of Inquiry to investigate human rights violations and abuses in Burundi since April 2015. On 29 September 2017 the Human Rights Council extended the mandate of the Commission for another year, despite the refusal of the government to allow the Commissioners to enter into Burundi.

On 28 September 2017 the Human Rights Council also adopted a Burundi government–supported resolution requesting OHCHR to dispatch a team of three experts to support the judicial authorities of Burundi to collect information and ensure accountability for violations of human rights.

On 23 October the European Union renewed targeted sanctions against four high-level Burundian officials for another year due to ongoing serious violations of human rights.

NECESSARY ACTION
Immediate steps must be taken by the government and opposition to avoid further militarization and ethnicization of the conflict. The Imbonerakure should be disarmed and demobilized. Allegations of systematic human rights violations and abuses must be investigated and perpetrators held accountable.

The government should engage constructively with the political dialogue led by the EAC and collaborate with the UNSC and OHCHR. The Commission of Inquiry should be permitted to enter Burundi to conduct its mandated investigation. The government should also reconsider its withdrawal from the ICC. The UNSC and the international community should impose targeted sanctions against all those who threaten peace and security in Burundi, including the list of suspected perpetrators of crimes against humanity supplied by the Commission of Inquiry.
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The government’s “war on drugs” leaves civilians in the Philippines at risk of extrajudicial killings that may amount to crimes against humanity.

BACKGROUND
Since President Rodrigo Duterte took office during June 2016, over 9,000 people have been extrajudicially killed during his proclaimed “war on drugs,” although the number is likely significantly higher. More than 3,900 people have been killed in police operations while thousands of deaths have been attributed to unidentified gunmen who carry out vigilante-style executions of alleged drug offenders. President Duterte has publicly encouraged vigilantes to join his campaign.

Between 14-18 August over 90 people were killed during the deadliest week since President Duterte took office. Among those killed was Kian delos Santos, a 17-year-old student. Delos Santos’ death sparked outcry when CCTV footage and witness testimony implicated police officers in carrying out vigilante-style executions of alleged drug offenders. President Duterte has publicly encouraged vigilantes to join his campaign.

On 11 October President Duterte announced that the PNP and military will refrain from undertaking any further drug operations and named the Philippines Drug Enforcement Agency as the sole agency to conduct anti-drug campaigns.

President Duterte has compared his “war on drugs” with the Holocaust and declared his willingness to “slaughter” millions of suspected drug abusers. Other high-ranking government officials have echoed these sentiments, including the Justice Secretary, who stated that the killings could not be deemed crimes against humanity as drug offenders were not “part of humanity.” President Duterte has silenced those opposed to the killings, including through imprisoning a parliamentary opponent and threatening to abolish the constitutionally-mandated Commission on Human Rights.

On 24 April Jude Sabio, a Philippine lawyer, filed a complaint with the ICC accusing President Duterte and 11 other senior officials of crimes against humanity and mass murder. Two Philippine legislators filed a supplemental communication on 6 June urging the ICC to conduct a preliminary examination. On 11 October Philippine lawyers filed a Supreme Court injunction in an attempt to halt the “drug war.”

On 23 May Maute, an armed group affiliated with ISIL, overtook portions of Marawi city causing President Duterte to declare martial law on the southern island of Mindanao. Fighting between the army and Maute displaced over 360,000 people. On 23 October the Philippines military formally declared that the city had been retaken from Maute.

ANALYSIS
While the government of the Philippines has sovereign authority to maintain law and order within its borders, including by punishing those who deal in illegal drugs and commit acts of terrorism, it is obligated to do so with respect to IHRL. Filipinos are at growing risk of extrajudicial killings that may amount to crimes against humanity. By openly calling upon armed vigilantes to join his “war on drugs,” President Duterte has actively promoted an atmosphere of impunity for murder.

The government of the Philippines is failing to uphold its Responsibility to Protect all Filipinos from crimes against humanity, including those accused of drug offenses.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
On 8 March the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Zeid Ra’ad Al Hussein, called for an independent investigation into extrajudicial killings in the Philippines. During May 2017 the UN Human Rights Council conducted its Universal Periodic Review of the Philippines, issuing 257 recommendations including halting and investigating extrajudicial killings. On 23 September the Philippines government rejected 154 of the recommendations.

During November 2016 the United States halted the sale of 26,000 assault rifles to the PNP and reallocated $4.5 million in aid to maritime security and human rights training, citing concerns over human rights violations. Other states have continued to donate arms to the PNP including China, which recently transferred over $3 million worth of rifles and ammunition, and Russia, which donated assault rifles.

NECESSARY ACTION
National authorities in the Philippines must restore the rule of law and immediately halt widespread extrajudicial killings. The Philippines Senate should ensure that a substantial and credible investigation into extrajudicial killings and the “war on drugs” is undertaken.
President Duterte should end martial law in Marawi.

The UN Human Rights Council should continue to closely monitor the situation in the Philippines.

States with strong economic and political ties to the Philippines, especially the United States and China, must increase diplomacy aimed at ending extrajudicial killings.

MORE INFORMATION
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AFGHANISTAN

Populations in Afghanistan are at growing risk of mass atrocity crimes as the Taliban regains territory during its ongoing armed conflict with the government.

BACKGROUND

Since the Taliban was overthrown in 2001 by a North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) coalition of military forces, it has conducted an insurgency against the internationally recognized Afghan government. While making substantial military gains during 2017, the Taliban has perpetrated indiscriminate attacks on civilians. According to the UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), civilians living in Taliban-controlled territory suffer widespread human rights abuses, including recruitment of child soldiers and extrajudicial killings.

UNAMA’s quarterly report documented the deaths of 2,640 civilians between 1 January and 30 September 2017. Attacks by the Taliban and other anti-government forces remain the leading cause of casualties, but other parties to the conflict also continue to use mortars, rockets and other explosive weapons in civilian-populated areas. The UN Secretary-General’s annual report on children and armed conflict also documented 3,512 children killed or maimed during 2016, marking an increase of 24 percent as compared to the previous year. Afghanistan has recorded the highest number of verified child casualties since the UN started globally documenting civilian casualties in 2009.

During 2016 the ICC reported that the Taliban has potentially committed crimes against humanity and war crimes against civilians, particularly those perceived as supporting the Afghan government and foreign forces opposed to Taliban rule. According to the ICC, Afghan security forces and members of the United States’ armed forces and intelligence services may have also committed war crimes, including torture of detainees. On 3 November the Chief Prosecutor of the ICC requested the court open a formal investigation into alleged war crimes and crimes against humanity in Afghanistan.

As ISIL faces military defeat in Syria and Iraq, some supporters – designated the ISIL Khorasan (ISIL-K) - have begun to establish safe havens in remote areas of Afghanistan. ISIL-K has claimed responsibility for several recent terrorist bombings. A special report released by UNAMA on 7 November documented a significant increase in attacks targeting places of worship and persons exercising their right to religious worship since January 2016. Fifty-one attacks resulted in 850 civilian casualties, including 273 deaths. According to the report, since 2016 these attacks have been increasingly directed at Afghanistan’s Shia minority by armed extremist groups.

According to OCHA, 7.4 million people in Afghanistan are in need of humanitarian assistance and over 296,000 people are internally displaced due to ongoing armed conflict.

ANALYSIS

The Taliban, which ruled Afghanistan from 1996-2001, is an armed Sunni extremist movement. As the Taliban regains territory across Afghanistan, the number of civilians at risk of possible Sunni crimes and crimes against humanity increases. During their sixteen-year war, both government forces and the Taliban have shown disregard for IHL. However, while government forces have attempted to decrease civilian casualties, Taliban and ISIL-K attacks on populated areas and civilian infrastructure continue to rise.

Unless sustained action is taken to address corruption, marginalization and political tensions within the Afghan government, conflict and insecurity will continue to grow.

The Afghan government needs structural reform and ongoing international support to uphold its Responsibility to Protect.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE

At the July 2016 NATO Summit in Warsaw, member states pledged to sustain their mission in Afghanistan beyond 2016 and to continue their assistance to Afghan security forces until 2020. The United States currently has 11,000 troops in Afghanistan, and on 21 August 2017 President Donald Trump announced additional troops will be deployed shortly.
UNAMA was established under UNSC Resolution 1401 of 2002 and its mandate includes monitoring human rights violations and the protection of civilians. UNAMA’s mandate has been renewed until 17 March 2018. Since 2011 the UNSC has imposed an arms embargo and sanctions on individuals or entities who support the Taliban and its affiliates.

NECESSARY ACTION
The international community should continue to provide support to the Afghan government to help combat the Taliban, ISIL-K and other armed groups within the country. Countering violent extremism while promoting good governance and the rule of law remains essential.

The Afghan security forces and all international military forces operating within Afghanistan must prioritize the protection of civilians and strictly adhere to IHL and IHRL.

The government and its international partners should fully cooperate with the ICC’s investigation of alleged war crimes and crimes against humanity in Afghanistan.
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ANALYSIS
Despite political advances, the Federal Government of Somalia currently lacks the capacity to adequately protect civilians from the predations of various armed groups.

Al-Shabaab maintains the capacity to commit possible war crimes and crimes against humanity. In areas controlled by Al-Shabaab the denial of aid to people facing famine may also constitute crimes against humanity.

While the AU has trained AMISOM forces to respect IHL and IHRL and advised on methods to reduce civilian harm, large-scale military offensives against Al-Shabaab still pose a threat to vulnerable populations. Despite challenges, AMISOM remains the main source of security in Somalia. If AMISOM completes its planned withdrawal in 2020 Somali government forces may be unprepared to provide adequate protection on their own.

The Federal Government of Somalia and AMISOM have a Responsibility to Protect civilians from war crimes and crimes against humanity.
against humanity as they battle against Al-Shabaab and other armed extremist groups.

INTERNATIONAL RESPONSE
On 30 August the UNSC passed Resolution 2372, which recalled the Federal Government of Somalia’s responsibility to protect its population and to build its national security forces in full compliance with IHL and IHRL. Resolution 2372 also renewed AMISOM’s mandate until 31 May 2018.

On 15 October the UNSC and AU issued statements condemning the bombing in Mogadishu.

NECESSARY ACTION
International partners should continue to support efforts aimed at defeating Al-Shabaab and enhancing national governance, as well as protecting and promoting human rights in Somalia.

The Somali government and AMISOM must ensure that their military campaigns against Al-Shabaab are carried out in strict adherence with IHL and IHRL. A coordinated political strategy aimed at countering violent extremism should also be implemented in order to prevent recruitment to Al-Shabaab and other armed groups.

All alleged war crimes in Somalia must be properly investigated and the perpetrators held accountable, regardless of rank or affiliation.

MORE INFORMATION
» AMISOM Website
» UNSC Resolution, S/RES/2372, 30 August 2017
» Populations at Risk: Somalia