


# The Grand Bargain in 2017

Signatories reported on average against 52% of their commitments...



...and three workstreams are performing relatively well...

**Workstream 3:**  
Increase the use and coordination of cash



**Workstream 6:**  
A participation revolution



**Workstream 7:**  
Increase collaborative humanitarian multi-year planning and funding



...but progress remains uneven

Commitment 3.1 & 3.6



Commitment 5.6



Membership has increased...

May 2016

34

June 2017

52


December 2017

56

June 2018

59

...but there are concerns that the quid pro quo is not functioning



Consensus that the Grand Bargain is a catalyst for systemic change...

...but key policy questions remain unresolved

End goal?

Expanding membership?

Role at country level?

## Recommendations

1. Rationalise, prioritise and target efforts to commitments
2. Lighten the bureaucratic burden on signatories
3. Find pragmatic and creative ways to achieve the same outcomes
4. Define a practical and consistent methodology for assessing progress
5. Get the 'bargain' back on track
6. Strengthen political leadership

# Progress made per workstream

This table illustrates the scores assigned to each workstream against five assessment criteria. Overall assessments of each workstream can be found in section 2.

- ☆☆☆☆ No significant progress
- ★☆☆☆☆ Little progress
- ★★☆☆☆ Some progress
- ★★★☆☆ Good progress
- ★★★★★ Excellent progress

Workstream	Donor activity	Aid organisation activity	Activity on joint commitments	Links to other workstreams	Links to other existing processes
Greater transparency	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
More support and funding for local and national responders	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆
Increase the use and coordination of cash	★★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★★★
Reduce duplication and management costs with periodic functional review	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
Improve joint and impartial needs assessments	★☆☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆
A participation revolution	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
Increase collaborative humanitarian multi-year planning and funding	★★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
Reduce the earmarking of donor contributions	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
Harmonise and simplify reporting requirements	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆
Enhance engagement between humanitarian and development actors	★★☆☆	★☆☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆	★★☆☆