



ERM Household Assessment Report

AC304: 50 IDP HH assessment report in Miramor district

1. General Information:

Assessment Location: <i>(Province/District/Village)</i>	Miramor District		
Type of Crises: <i>(Conflict/Natural Disaster/Other)</i>	Conflict		
Crisis Location: <i>(Province/District/Village)</i>	Ghazni province.		
Assessment Team: <i>(Name of I/NGO in the Assessment Team)</i>	Joint assessment team (ACF, DoRR, ARC and district representative)		
Crises Date: <i>(Date of Displacement-Estimated)</i>	August to October 2018		
Date of Notification:	27 September 2018		
Date of Assessment: <i>(starting date/ending date)</i>	02-08 October 2018		
TYPES OF ASSISTANCE PROPOSED			
Affected Population: <i>(Total Caseload: IDP/CAT A/CAT B/Other)</i>	HHs:	Families:	Inds.
	50	50	269
Multipurpose Cash (Full Amount)	50	50	269
Multipurpose Cash (Top Up)			
NFI Kit			
Hygiene kits			
Protection Referral			
Individual Protection Assistance			
TOT. UNIQUE BENEFICIARIES	50	50	269
Data Collection Method	Electronic	Hardcopy	
	√		

2. Assessment Finding and Recommendation in brief:

Be very concise and provide # of affected people, information about damages, priority needs, and recommended assistance (Who, When, How should be assisted, By which Organization).

The security situation in Ghazni province started worsening during the month of July 2018 and finally On 10 August, AOGs attacked Ghazni City, the provincial capital of Ghazni Province 150 kilometers south-west of Kabul with an estimated 270,000 residents. Following attacks against key Government offices, critical infrastructure was damaged. Communications networks and the electricity supply got down in Ghazni, resulting in water shortages due to non-functional pumps. The reported presence of members of the Taliban in civilian homes and market places has heightened the risk of civilian casualties arising from any military aerial response, while the placement of improvised explosive devices (IEDs) along Highway 1 both north and south of the city has prevented civilians from safely fleeing the violence.

Humanitarian partners identified more than 21,000 displaced people for humanitarian assistance by 25 August in eight neighborhoods of the city. OCHA and DORR in Dykundi reported 400 families in the first notification and 250 families' displacement in second notification for the remaining displaced families to a District Mairmore and total

number of households assessed (door to door visit) were 202 and selected 50 HHs eligible for assistance. ACF flying team in a first assessment identified 230 HHs eligible for assistance and this is the second phase of assessment for the remaining IDP households and started the assessment in district Miramor on 2nd October. Assessment has been very challenging in Mirmor due to road conditions, lack of mobile networks and hosting communities who wanted to be part of assistance. On 8th of October ACF completed the assessment of 50 HHs.

Assessment findings:

Household compositions (total individuals: 269 Individuals)


- ✓ All 50 HHs displaced during the months of July and October 2018.
- ✓ All 50 HHs (100%) are hosted temporarily hosted with their relatives and by the host community who have provided them their unused rooms free of charge. According to the assessment findings and direct observation of the team, their living conditions are critical. IDPs are not paying any rent to the host communities which is a protection concern as hosting communities may ask to vacate the rooms any time. More than one displaced families were found sharing one room which is a serious protection concern as no one could maintain the privacy, safety and security of the HH members and their belongings. Even the host community does not have much capacity to accommodate them for long time.
- ✓ 47 IDP HHs 94% are using stream/river, 1 households (2%) using Kandas, 2 family using pipe water 4% that is apparently not safe source of water. The data indicates that women were fetching the water from the water sources.
- ✓ Out of 50 HHs, 7 IDP HH were not having access to latrines, all the rest were using family latrines which were not in a good condition.
- ✓ All 50 IDPs families (100%) reported that during the displacement period they have lost their asset expensed their cash and their coping mechanism are borrowing or relying on the support by their relatives and host community. Before displacement, 100% of them had different livelihood sources and average monthly income before shock was about 7130 AFN, however, after the displacement, they do not have any livelihood source and their income are zero. Due to lack of job opportunities, it is difficult to find some work in the district.
- ✓ All 50 HH (100%) among them reported that they have less than a week food stock.
- ✓ 36 IDP (72%) HHs reported that they have to pay back more than 8000AF, 13 HH (26%) have 2000-8000AFN, and 1 (2%) households has less than 2000 AFN debt.
- ✓ 100% of the HHs mentioned that they did not receive any type of external assistance since the displacement period; the only support they received is from their relatives and host community.

Food, NFI kits, rental/shelter support, transportation and provision of hygiene items are their immediate needs. As compared to in kind-support, people preferred to receive cash support to address their immediate need.

Family composition of IDP HHs:

Age Groups	Children's (0-5)		Adolescents (5-18)		Adults(18-50)		Elders(50+)		Total		G. Total individuals
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	
50	40	44	51	38	39	54	0	3	130	139	269

Graph: 1 Gender and Age Disaggregated Information



Recommendations and response plan

In total, joint assessment team (JAT) identified 50 eligible IDPs households (269 individuals) out of all 250 households reported by DoRR and district in the notification.

The joint assessment team and coordination of UNOCHA it is decided that ACF will provide the assistance to the whole caseload, however assistance are as below,

- ACF will provide multipurpose cash assistance to all 50 HHs. 41 households score is in category A and they will receive full package assistance for two months and 9 HHs are category B and they will receive assistance for one month.

As Per ERM modalities, if other actors provide in kind response, ERM partners will deduct its MPCA amount based on the NFI or Food commodity Standard price.

3. Sectorial Issues:

A) Food Security, Nutrition and Livelihood: *(provide detailed information about the impact of food security and livelihood i.e. lost/damaged food stock, current food stock statues, income sources, damaged/destroyed crops and agricultural land, type of agricultural land, agricultural inputs availability, diet diversity, irrigation system, livestock etc. Also describe immediate food and nutritional need and provide recommendation about the short and long term food and nutrition assistance)*

The overall food security of the selected households is perilous. The average monthly income of the selected households is decreased from 7,130 AFN to 40 AFN compared to their pre-displacement monthly income. 40 (80%) households are having poor food consumption score and 10 (20%) households are having borderline food consumption score. 24 (48%) households are having highly reduced coping strategies while only 26 (58%) households are applying medium coping strategy which has affected the food security of the men, women and children of the affected households.


All the selected households are having free, easy and direct access to local market; there is no physical or security treats in going to local markets.

High influx of IDPs have put an extra burden not only on the hosting families, but also on local job market as a whole. Food stocks of the hosting families are being utilized for displaced communities.


This situation will further exacerbate the food insecurity situation in the coming days if assistance is not provide in time.




Graph: 2 Debt Analysis




Graph: 3 Food Stock



Graph: 4 Food Consumption Score



Graph: 5 Coping Strategy index



Recommendations:

All 66 IDP HHs are recommended for food support either in cash or in-kind.

B) NFI: *(provide detailed information about NFI items lost due to disasters/crises as well as what type of NFI is needed for how many families and when? NFI can include cooking items, kitchen items, hygiene items, bedding, clothing, fuel etc.)*

All 50 households either could not bring their kitchen items, hygiene items and water containers or the one they were able to bring are in a very bad condition, therefore all the 50 households are recommended to provide the NFI and hygiene kits.



Humanitarian Aid
and Civil Protection



ERM
Emergency
Response
Mechanism

Lost items	Kitchen items	Hygiene	Water container
Number of HHs	50	50	50
Percentage of HHs	100%	97%	100%

Recommendations:

- All 50 households recommended for cash for NFIs. And ACF will provide cash for NFI to all 50 HHs.

C) Shelter: *(please provide detailed information about the status of shelter condition i.e. type of normal shelters, # of shelters moderately damaged, severely damaged and completely destroyed by crises. How many people live in open space, sheltered with host families, etc. What kind of shelter support/assistance is needed and for How many families). Are there land ownership issues?)*

100% of the families were found living in the houses provided by the hosting communities for free of charge. Hosting communities being relatives of the displaced families in most of the cases provided their unused/extra rooms to the displaced families to live temporarily. However, it was found that more than one families were sharing one room which was resulting into protection issues as no one was able to maintain their privacy and security of their assets.


Recommendation:

MPCA for shelter/WASH is recommended for all 50 households.


D) WASH: *(provide detailed information about Water sources, affected water source, sanitation (latrines, used water and solid waste management) and hygiene issues. What is recommended in WASH sector and when?)*

IDPs and host community are sharing the same water sources. All the 50 HHs are having access to safe drinking water for drinking, cooking and bathing.

Graph: 6 Source of Water



Graph:7 Water collector





Graph: 8 Latrines' Availability



Recommendation:

No specific recommendation.

E) Protection: *(provide detailed information about protection issues, protection needs, PSN and EVIs (Female H, elderly HHs, child HH, chronically ill members, disable members) and provide precise recommendation regarding IPAs)*

A small number of people with additional vulnerabilities identified (see table below): Elderly head of household	Female HH	Child HH	Physical disable	Chronically ill
0	12	0	0	7

Table: Number of household with additional vulnerabilities

Recommendation:

There is no GBV case reported in this caseload. Referrals will be made to the relevant organizations if needed at a later stage. The point about protection was discussed in the joint coordination team meeting, DORR agreed to make the follow up in terms of protection issues.

F) Health: *(provide information about health issues, damaged/destroyed health facilities, current/available health facilities, access to health services and recommended assistance in this regards)*

All families have access to Miramor district hospital, they can avail the health services from the hospital, and they can use the amount which will be provided to them as MPC by ACF's ERM team.

Recommendation:

Cash support for health is recommended for all 50 households.

H) MARKET ASSESSMENT: *(provide summary information regarding the local market, accessibility, security constrains and fill the table if a market assessment has been conducted)*



Humanitarian Aid
and Civil Protection



ERM
Emergency
Response
Mechanism

All IDP household are hosted in district center and villages that is about 5-10 KM away from market, IDPs have access to the market, there are medium and small bazars apart from district level market which is fully functional. IDPs have easy access to village level shops and market in the district and there is no security threats for going to district level market or the shops in their villages therefore, cash support is recommended as a preferred modality of assistance. ERM team did market assessment the price of each food item are below.

ERM team did market assessment the price of each food item found as follow.

ITEM	Unit	Price AFN /Unit	Change in price? (Yes/No/Increase since the displacement/Decreased)
Wheat Flour, White	Kg	27	No
Rice	Kg	101	No
Oil	Liter	75	No
Sugar	Kg	40	NO
Salt	Kg	10	No
Mung Beans	Kg	110	No
TOTAL COST FOOD BASKET/MPC ASSISTANCE (from t0he cash tool)			12,000AFN

An increase is observed in the prices of some commodities and this is mainly because of the drought.

L) Other: *provide detailed information about any other issue that is not covered above, this can include, security, infrastructure, coordination & assistance provided by others, problems encountered during the assessment, e etc)*

All the important findings and recommendations are well covered in the reports; however, it is important to mention here that OCHA is coordinating the IDP assessment and response in Helmand province.

OCHA is coordinating the whole assessment and response in Miramor. Needs were identified by a joint assessment team and it has been decided in the coordination meeting that ACF will response to this caseload through cash assistance in line with scoring system to the whole caseload.


4. Urgent Priority needs of affected people (as per affected point of view)

(Provide detailed information about top 3 priority needs of affected population as per the opinion of affected population and provide your recommendation how and when identified needs shall be addressed)

According to the findings of the assessment and prioritization by IDPs food and NFI are the top priority needs of the IDPs. It is reiterated that all the needs should be responded within one week of time after assessment. Only ACF will respond to the whole caseload.



Graph: 9 Ranking of Priority Needs by IDPs



- Details of ERM8 MPCs are as bellow:

Sector	Amount 1st month	Amount 2nd month	Sources	Total price per 2month	Comments
Food	6000AFN	6000AFN		12000AFN	
NFIs	4000	0		4000 AFN	
WASH/Shelter	2000AFN	1000AFN		3000AFN	
Fuel/Electricity	2000AFN	2000AFN		4000AFN	
Health	2000AFN	2000AFN		4000AFN	
Transportation	1000	0		1000	
	-	-		-	
TOTAL	13,000AFN	11000AFG		28000 AFN	

Note: 41 households will receive the full package MPCA (28,000 AFN) while 9 households will receive half package MPCA top up (17,000 AFN).

Summary of ACF's proposed response:

No. HHs	Eligible for full package of assistance (MPCA)	Eligible for half package of assistance (MPCA)	Hygiene kits	Kitchen kits	Blankets
50	41	9	0	0	0

Challenges:

1. Geographical location of the district and hard to reach area due to bad condition of roads and lack of mobile networks.
2. Non presence of other humanitarian partners
3. Hosting communities wanted to be assisted.
4. Very long and fake list of IDPs provided by district office

5. Annexes



Humanitarian Aid
and Civil Protection



(Please provide the database collected under the ERM household assessment in electronic form)

- HEAT assessment database (electronic assessment)
- Market assessment database (electronic assessment)

Report written by: Abdul Hai Alihil – ERM Information Officer

Reviewed and validated by: Mohammad Sabir Sahar