Summary

This profile provides an overview of conditions in Mahmoudliy settlement. Primary data was collected through household surveys between 26 and 29 September, prior to military escalation in northeast Syria starting on 9 October. Households were randomly sampled to a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error, based on population figures provided by camp management. In some cases, further additional information from camp managers has been used to support findings.

Mahmoudliy camp is new and opened in July 2019. It was being used to relocate IDPs from Twahina informal settlement which was in the process of being consolidated. At the time of data collection, the camp was managed by an INGO, and administered by an INGO.

Camp Overview

| Number of individuals: | 6,122 |
| Number of households: | 1,084 |
| Number of shelters: | 1,670 |
| First arrivals: | July 2019 |
| Camp area: | 0.72 km² |

Demographics

- Men: 1% | 60+ | 16% | 18-59 | 22% | 5-17 | 10% | 0-4 | Women: 1% | 60+ | 20% | 18-59 | 21% | 5-17 | 9% |

Camp Map

Location Map

Sectoral Minimum Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
<th>Previous round (July 2019)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>Average number of individuals per shelter</td>
<td>max 4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average covered area per person</td>
<td>min 3.5m²</td>
<td>6.3m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average camp area per person</td>
<td>min 35m²</td>
<td>118m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>% of 0-5 year olds who have received polio vaccinations</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence of health services within the camp</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>% of households reporting safety/security issues in past two weeks</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>% of households receiving assistance in 30 days prior to data collection</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of households with acceptable food consumption score (FCS)²</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>% of children aged 6-11 accessing education services</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of children aged 12-17 accessing education services</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH</td>
<td>Persons per latrine</td>
<td>max. 20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons per shower</td>
<td>max. 20</td>
<td>765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency of solid waste disposal</td>
<td>min. twice weekly</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Targets based on Sphere and humanitarian minimum standards specific to northeast Syria. ● Minimum standard reached ● More than 50% minimum standard reached ● Less than 50% of minimum standard reached

1. Number of individuals, households, and shelters reported by camp management.

2. FCS measures households’ current status of food consumption based on the number of days per week a household is able to eat items from nine standard food groups, weighted for their nutritional value.
**MOBILITY**

Top three household origins (out of all camp residents):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Governorate</th>
<th>Sub-district</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Hama</td>
<td>Ogeirbat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Homs</td>
<td>Tadmor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Aleppo</td>
<td>Maskana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Movements in the 30 days prior to data collection:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New arrivals</th>
<th>Departures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Households planning to leave the camp:

- Within 1 week: 0%
- Within 1 month: 0%
- Within 6 months: 0%
- After 6+ months: 0%
- Not planning to leave: 100%

On average, households in the camp had been displaced 4 times before arriving to this camp and 5% of households in the camp had been displaced longer than one year.

100% of households were planning to stay in the camp. It was unknown whether households received any information on returning to their area of origin from the camp management / administration.

**PROTECTION**

Protection issues

- 76% of households in the camp reported being aware of safety and security issues in the camp, during the two weeks prior to data collection.

The most commonly reported issues were:
- Refusal to host certain groups of people (77%)
- Disputes between residents (59%)
- Movement restrictions (41%)

4% of households reported at least one member suffering from psychosocial distress. 13% of households with children aged 3-17 reported that at least one child had exhibited changes in behaviour in the two weeks prior to data collection.

Freedom of movement

- 27% of households who needed to leave the camp temporarily for medical emergencies in the two weeks prior to data collection reported that they had been able to do so.

Households reporting that they were able to leave for non-emergency purposes in the two weeks prior to data collection:

- Most commonly reported barriers:
  - Site departure conditions needs approval (91%)
  - Transport available but too expensive (27%)

Gender-based violence

Households reporting the presence of gender-based protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):

- Yes: 53%
- No: 47%

Most commonly reported issues:
- Early marriage (women below 16 years old) (62%)
- Restrictions on women and girls accessing services (18%)

Child protection

Households reporting the presence of child protection issues within the camp (in the two weeks prior to data collection):

- Yes: 76%
- No: 24%

Most commonly reported issues:
- Child labour (91%)
- Early marriage (below 16 years old) (68%)

Documentation

- 50% of households reported that all married individuals in the household are in possession of their marriage certificate. The main reason why married individuals were not in possession of their marriage certificate was certificate services were unavailable.

- 37% of children under five years old reportedly have birth registration documentation.

Vulnerable groups

Proportion of total assessed population in vulnerable groups:

- Children at risk: 1.1%
- Elderly at risk: 1.7%
- Persons with disabilities: 1.7%
- Chronically ill persons: 2.6%
- People with psychosocial needs: 0.7%
- Single parents/caregivers: 1.9%
- Pregnant/lactating women: 26.1%
- In female-headed households: 13.4%

---

4. As reported by households themselves. Assessed symptoms included: persistent headaches, sleeplessness, and more aggressive behaviour than normal towards children or other household members.

5. As reported by households themselves. Changes in sleeping patterns, interactions with peers, attentiveness, or interest in other daily activities.

6. Self-reported by households and not verified through medical records. Children at risk are persons under 18 who are parents, separated from their immediate family, or not attending school, and persons under 16 who are married or working. Elderly people at risk are persons over the age of 65 who cannot take care of themselves or who are solely responsible for children under 18 or others who cannot take care of themselves.

7. Percentage is the proportion of the population subset who are reported as vulnerable.
**EDUCATION**

At the time of data collection, there were 4 educational facilities in the camp.

- **Age groups:** 6-11, 12-14, 15-17
- **Service providers:** NGOs, INGOs
- **Curricula on offer:** Self-taught/UNICEF
- **Certification available:** No data

### Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Boys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-11</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proportion of children aged 6-11 who attended school was 51% at the time of data collection.

### Barriers to education

- 60% of households with children aged 3-17 who reported that none of them went to school.
- 100% reported that they faced barriers to education.
- The most commonly reported barriers were:
  - No space in school / unable to register (41%)
  - No education for children of a certain age (38%)
  - No education available/lack of learning space (14%)

### Availability of WASH facilities in educational facilities

- Gender-segregated latrines: In all schools
- Handwashing facilities: In some schools
- Safe drinking water: In some schools

**WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)**

### Water

- **Public tap/standpipe** was the primary source of water in the camp at the time of data collection. However, no data was available on the drinking water supplier or whether water was treated prior to distribution.

- 1% of households reported they spent at least two consecutive days without access to drinking water in the two weeks prior to data collection.

- 100% of households reported using a public tap/standpipe to access drinking water.

**Drinking water issues in the two weeks prior to data collection, by % of households reporting**:

- No issues: 89%
- Water tasted/smelled/looked bad: 8%
- People got sick after drinking: 4%
- Not sure: 0%

- 6% of households reported that they treated their drinking water.

**Households using negative strategies to cope with a lack of water in the two weeks prior to data collection**:

- Most commonly reported strategies:
  - Rely on drinking water stored previously (82%)
  - Reduce drinking water consumption (18%)

**Waste disposal**

- **Primary waste disposal system:** Communal garbage bin
- **Disposal location:** Official landfill
- **Sewage system:** Sewage network

- 100% of households reported that solid waste was collected more than once per week.

### Sanitation

- **Number of latrines in camp:** 591 (May 2019: NA)
  - Communal\(^\text{10}\) 100%
  - Household\(^\text{10}\) 0%

- 0% of households reported latrines as main practice.

- 11% of households reported that some members could not access latrines, with boys (0-17) being most frequent (7% of households).

**Communal latrine characteristics, by % of households reporting:**

- Segregated by gender: 92%
- Lockable from inside: 1%
- Functioning lighting: 95%
- Privacy wall: 57%

**Communal latrine cleanliness, by % of households reporting:**

- Very clean: 17%
- Mostly clean: 44%
- Somewhat unclean: 25%
- Very unclean: 14%

### Number of showers in camp: 8 (May 2019: NA)

- **Communal\(^\text{10}\) 0%
- **Household\(^\text{9}\) 0%

Households without access to showers predominantly reported bathing inside their shelters (100%).

### Hygiene

**Households that were able to access all assessed hygiene items:**

- Yes: 71%
- No: 29%

The most commonly inaccessible items included washing powder and bars of soap. Hygiene items were most commonly inaccessible because households could not afford to buy them.

---

8. In the two weeks prior to data collection, self-verified by household and not verified through medical records.

9. The assessed hygiene items included: soap, sanitary pads, disposable diapers, washing powder, jerry cans/buckets, toothbrushes (for adults and children), toothpaste (for adults and children), shampoo (for adults and babies), cleaning liquid (for house), detergent for dishes, plastic garbage bags, washing lines, nail clippers, combs, and towels.

10. Communal latrines and showers are shared by more than one household. Household latrines and showers are used only by one household. This may be an informal designation that is not officially enforced.

11. Excluding households who selected not sure.
HEALTH

Number of healthcare facilities: 3
Service providers: LNGOs, INGOs, UN agencies
Types of facilities: NGO clinics

Households with members in the following categories:
- Person with serious injury: 3%
- Person with chronic illness: 3%
- Pregnant or lactating woman: 36%

Access to treatment for one or more household members in the 30 days prior to data collection:
- Of all households in the camp...
  - 54% Required treatment
  - 66% Sought treatment
  - 46% Did not require treatment
  - 14% Did not seek treatment
  - 33% Received treatment inside the camp
  - 65% Received treatment outside the camp

FOOD SECURITY

Consumption
Percentage of households at each food consumption score level:
- Acceptable: 46%
- Borderline: 43%
- Poor: 11%

The percentage of households with an acceptable food consumption score was 46% in September 2019.

96% of households reported using food-related coping strategies in the week before data collection.

Top three reported food-related coping strategies:
- Borrowing food: 60%
- Eating smaller meals: 50%
- Eating fewer meals: 40%

Most commonly reported main sources of food:
- Food distributions: 100%
- Markets in the camp: 81%
- Markets outside the camp: 13%

Distributions
Type of food assistance received, by % of households reporting:
- Bread: 99%
- Food basket(s): 98%
- Cash/vouchers for food: 8%

9% of the 12% households who had not received a food basket, cash, or vouchers in the 30 days prior to data collection, had received at least one of these distributions in the preceding three months.

Top three food items households would like to receive more of:
- Sugar: 89%
- Ghee/vegetable oil: 80%
- Rice: 32%

Market access
68% of households reported that they were able to access markets inside the camp to buy food. However, 86% of these households reportedly did not have enough funds to buy all the items they needed.

LIVELIHOODS

Livelihood Sources
54% of households reported having at least one financial livelihood source in the month prior to data collection.

Average monthly household income: 42,964 SYP (66 USD)
Households with members earning an income: 79%

Top three reported primary income sources in the 30 days prior to data collection:
- Employment inside the camp: 46%
- Cash for work: 26%
- Borrowed from family or friends: 24%

Coping strategies
Top three reported livelihoods-related coping strategies:
- Borrowed money: 84%
- Sold assistance items received: 59%
- Support from friends and relatives: 20%

61% of households reported that they had bought goods on credit in the 30 days prior to data collection; on average these households owed 30,990 SYP (48 USD)

12. Households were asked to report the number of days they employed each coping strategy; graph only shows the overall frequency with which a coping strategy was reported.
13. Households could select as many options as applied.
14. The effective exchange rate for Northeast Syria was reported to be 650 Syrian Pounds to the dollar in September 2019 (REACH Initiative, Market Monitoring Exercise Snapshot 21 October 2019).
15. In the 30 days before data collection.
16. Households could select up to three options.
**SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)**

**Shelter**
- **99%** of inhabited shelters were family-sized tents.
- Average number of people per shelter: **3.7**
- Average number of shelters per household: **1.5**
- Average household size: **5.7** individuals

**Tent status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tent is new</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor wear and tear</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tent is in poor condition</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tent is worn/torn</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources of light**

Top three sources of light inside shelters:
- Light powered by solar panels: **58%**
- Rechargeable flashlight/lamp: **25%**
- Flashlight/lamp with disposable batteries: **22%**

**NFI needs**

Top three anticipated NFI needs for the next three months:
- Winter blankets: **52%**
- Plastic sheeting: **52%**
- Heating fuel: **39%**

**Shelter adequacy**

99% of households reported that they faced shelter adequacy issues.

Top three most commonly reported shelter adequacy issues:
- Lack of privacy: 77%
- No electricity: 40%
- Safety (fall hazards, etc.): 33%

Top three most commonly reported shelter item needs:
- Plastic sheeting: 47%
- Tarpaulins: 45%
- New/additional tents: 3%

8% of respondents reported they had access to a kitchen space.

**Fire safety**

Households reporting the presence of fire fighting systems that could be used to protect them:
- Yes - fire extinguishers: 85%
- Yes - other: 0%
- Not sure: 1%
- No: 14%

40% of respondents with access to a fire fighting system reported being familiar with how to use it. Camp management reported that actors in the camp had provided residents with information on fire safety in the three months prior to data collection.

**INFORMATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

**Camp management and committees**

27% of households reported that they did not know the camp management, with 23% saying that they were not sure.

Committees reported by households to be present in camp:
- **91%** Camp management
- **94%** Women’s committee
- **64%** WASH committee
- **50%** Youth committee
- **93%** Maintenance committee
- **93%** Distribution committee

**Complaints**

Only 10% of households who had made a complaint in the three months prior to data collection reported that action was taken as a result:

- **27%** Did not know where to complain
- **73%** Knew where to make a complaint
- **23%** Had a complaint
- **90%** Did not make a complaint
- **10%** action was taken
- **10%** Made a complaint
- **90%** No action was taken

**Information Needs**

Top three reported sources of information about distributions:
- Community leaders: 91%
- Word of mouth: 39%
- Community mobilisers: 27%

Top three reported information needs:
- How to find job opportunities: 79%
- How to access assistance: 31%
- Sponsorship programmes: 25%

**About REACH Initiative**

REACH Initiative facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. The methodologies used by REACH include primary data collection and in-depth analysis, and all activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms. REACH is a joint initiative of IMPACT Initiatives, ACTED and the United Nations Institute for Training and Research - Operational Satellite Applications Programme (UNITAR-UNOSAT).

---

17. Enumerators were asked to observe the state of the tent and select one of the options.