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Message from the Chairperson and the President

Trust is the bedrock of peaceful societies. It is fragile, quickly broken, and only regained through patient and persistent effort. Generating confidence, mutual respect and understanding among individuals, and also between citizens and those who represent them, is at the heart of Interpeace’s peacebuilding efforts around the world.

It is for this reason that we have chosen “Trust Matters” as the theme for Interpeace’s 25th anniversary. While self-evident to some, the centrality of trust-building in nearly every domain is too often an afterthought. Recent crises such as the outbreak of the deadly Ebola virus in the Eastern regions of the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), and the community mistrust and, at times, violent resistance, that have severely hampered response efforts, underscore the point. It is imperative that trust-building becomes a more central lens in shaping the interventions and assessment of policies and programmes in conflict-affected societies.

In addition to our peacebuilding engagements in the DRC, Libya, Mali, and other regions Interpeace is now assisting international organisations in the areas of security, development and humanitarian aid to become more ‘peace responsive’. This entails the intentional and systematic delivery of their activities in ways that simultaneously address undermining conflict and peace dynamics. Through these engagements, Interpeace is supporting these lead organizations to become better equipped when facing complex crises and challenges.

Given the changing peacebuilding environment, Interpeace took time during the past year to examine the organization’s own resilience and future-proofing. We now place a greater emphasis on having staff based in the field at the country level in close proximity to the communities we serve and the partners with whom we work. We have also adopted a new approach to our programming and enhanced our systems for governance and transparency. The past year has also witnessed the recognition of Interpeace as an international organization, enhancing our position in the global peace and security architecture alongside our strategic partnership with the United Nations.

This report illustrates the rich variety and depth of our work. With over 80% of humanitarian crises being conflict-related, Interpeace’s work is more important and urgent than ever. We know, based on experience, that it is by harnessing a society’s own resources and resilience that crises can be overcome, and that a more sustainable peace becomes possible. We greatly appreciate the continuing trust and investment from our partners and supporters and the hard work and commitment of our talented staff. We are delighted that our 25th anniversary has proved to be such a productive one which also augurs well for the years ahead.

President
Scott M. Weber
Chairperson
Monica McWilliams

About Interpeace

Interpeace is an international organization that prevents violence and builds lasting peace. We have 25 years of experience working in Africa, the Middle East, Asia, Europe and Latin America.

Violent conflict tears apart societies and colors all relationships. Interpeace builds trust as the glue that brings societies back together. Many solutions to conflict do not last because they are imposed or do not take fully into account the views and needs of those who are most affected. Interpeace works to have solutions designed and led locally, from the grassroots communities to the most senior decision-making levels.

In the heat of conflict, it can be difficult for people to see what connects them to others, rather than what drives them apart. Our approach seeks to help different parts of society come together to identify and harness their common strengths for sustainable peace.

Everyone’s contribution to peace matters, especially the international community together. Interpeace therefore also supports others by offering fresh thinking and expert advice about peacebuilding.

Our Track 6 Approach

In many countries the government, civil society and local communities often act separately to address problems they face. Our integrated Track 6 approach helps to ensure that high-level policies reflect local realities and benefit from local knowledge. This contributes to the policies’ legitimacy and sustainability.

We help establish processes of change that connect local communities, civil society, governments and the international community – an approach we call Track 6.

In the peacebuilding field, initiatives that involve government officials and other high-level decision-makers are referred to as Track 1. Initiatives that work with influential actors from civil society are referred to as Track 2. Those that engage the local population at the community and grassroots level are called Track 3.

Interpeace works across all levels of society, connecting the three tracks: 1+2+3=6.
The Change We Seek

Building on our 25 years of experience working in conflict-affected regions of the world, we have identified three “impact goals” we seek to reach in order to achieve our mandate.

Impact Goal 1:
Reduced Violence and Enhanced Safety and Security

It is well-established in peacebuilding practice that without an end to direct forms of violence and fighting across society, it is very difficult, impossible or simply unsustainable to build peace. As a result, we aim to reduce violence and enhance safety and security by

1. Transforming violent individuals and groups to become agents for peace
2. Enhancing community mechanisms to inclusively and non-violently manage safety and security
3. Strengthening the capacity of security and justice institutions to prevent violence and foster trust

Impact Goal 2:
More Resilient and Inclusive Societies

One of the most persistent drivers of conflict is exclusion - political, economic and/or social. At the same time, we recognize that all societies and individuals have capacities to overcome and transform conflict. Yet, these resilience capacities are often underestimated or overshadowed by too heavily focusing on what causes fragility or divisions among conflict affected communities. Therefore, we aim to help build resilient and inclusive societies by:

1. Strengthening trust between individuals and groups
2. Enhancing trust between societies and government
3. Addressing sources of marginalization and exclusion in society
4. Ensuring that economic systems support inclusive peace

Impact Goal 3:
Greater Incentives for Sustainable Peace

To better identify and seize opportunities to enhance trust and social cohesion, there must be a more effective collaboration between governments, organizations and agencies engaged in any given context. Sustainable peace can only be achieved if their respective strategies and ways of engagement align around enhancement opportunities for peace. This includes working closely with the humanitarian, development and peacebuilding areas to help create greater incentives for sustainable peace by:

1. Generating better peacebuilding evidence to improve results
2. Enhancing the alignment of financing towards peacebuilding needs
3. Increasing the adoption of peacebuilding principles
4. Improving international strategies for peace
Our Peacebuilding Principles

Local ownership

Putting local people at the heart of building peace

In order for peace to be sustainable, local people need to be at the center of defining their challenges and solutions. When people take part in defining the problem, they gain a sense of responsibility and ownership of the solutions. Together with our local partners, Interpeace ensures that priorities are determined locally and not imposed from the outside. We help to create spaces for dialogue and problem solving that pave the way for lasting peace.

Building trust

Trust is the keystone of peace

Trust is the foundation of society. Violent conflict tears the fabric of society and destroys the trust that binds relationships and gives institutions legitimacy. Interpeace works at all levels of society to develop a common vision for the future, helping to increase mutual understanding and rebuild trust.

Building lasting peace involves everyone

Excluding or marginalizing certain groups in society can deepen their resentment and sow the seeds of renewed violence. Interpeace’s inclusive approach engages all parties in a process of change, enabling them to move collectively towards moderation and compromise.

Long-term commitment

The road to peace is rarely straight and nearly always long. Interpeace recognizes that success in local peacebuilding work hinges on support that is patient and consistent.

Process matters

The urgent need to resolve a conflict can prompt a quick fix instead of the kind of holistic response that can truly strengthen the foundations of a divided society. At Interpeace, we recognize that the integrity of the process will in large part determine an initiative’s success.

Reaching out to all groups

Putting local people at the heart of building peace

In order for peace to be sustainable, local people need to be at the center of defining their challenges and solutions. When people take part in defining the problem, they gain a sense of responsibility and ownership of the solutions. Together with our local partners, Interpeace ensures that priorities are determined locally and not imposed from the outside. We help to create spaces for dialogue and problem solving that pave the way for lasting peace.
2018 marked an important milestone in Interpeace’s history. Beyond our peacebuilding initiatives carried out in Africa, the Middle East, Europe, Asia and Latin America, Interpeace was officially recognized as an international entity by the Swiss federal government. This acknowledgement reaffirms the important role played by the organization in peace processes and further consolidates this role in the global peace and security architecture.

Fostering trust, promoting dialogue and empowering women to transform conflict and reduce violence were the key peacebuilding drivers in our programmes in 2018.

**Highlights**

In Kenya’s Mandera County, intermittent clan clashes and cross-border attacks aimed at the civilian population have caused the displacement of tens of thousands of people. In 2018, the peacebuilding team mobilized an inclusive, cross-clan peace process that brought together over 250 key stakeholders with the objective of brokering a ceasefire. This resulted in an agreement on the immediate cessation of hostilities, the hand-over of murder suspects to the authorities, and the safe return of 19,000 internally displaced persons.

The second largest Ebola epidemic in recorded human history struck the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) in August 2018. The response team, jointly coordinated by national authorities and the World Health Organization (WHO), struggled to secure support and trust from the locally affected communities, risking wider contagion within the country and beyond. In response, Interpeace conducted participatory research and brought together local actors, to build trust between community members and health response teams in the affected areas of Beni and Butembo.

Research under the Societal Healing initiative implemented in Rwanda by Interpeace and its local partner, Never Again Rwanda, has shown that 25 years after the Genocide against the Tutsi, trauma is still present among the population, including youth born after the fact. The initiative also demonstrated that psychosocial group therapy modelled on peacebuilding approaches effectively reduces trauma by 25%, improves trust among participants by 57%, and reduces the proportion of participants thinking about suicide by 66%, indicating a transformative change.

Interpeace continues to foster the role of women in peacebuilding. In Guinea-Bissau, Interpeace and its local partner, Voz di Paz facilitated a national dialogue and debate on the role of women in decision-making. The discussion was instrumental in raising awareness on the issue of gender and giving previously voiceless actors the chance to express their opinions and concerns. In Mali, Interpeace and the Malian Institute of Research and Action for Peace (IMRAP) encouraged the inclusion of women in the armed forces as a key factor to restore trust between populations and security forces. In Palestine, the Interpeace’s Mustakhbaha programme empowered young women to utilize the transformative potential of UN Security Council Resolution 1325 to better promote women’s engagement with decision-makers.

In Libya, Interpeace and its partners have worked to highlight the numerous, but sometimes less visible communities that have maintained stability in the face of the regional conflict and the highly factionalized political landscape. This effort helped community members to strengthen their cohesion and capacity to resolve conflicts peacefully, contributing to overall peacebuilding and reconciliation efforts in Libya.

The second largest Ebola epidemic in recorded human history struck the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) in August 2018. The response team, jointly coordinated by national authorities and the World Health Organization (WHO), struggled to secure support and trust from the locally affected communities, risking wider contagion within the country and beyond. In response, Interpeace conducted participatory research and brought together local actors, to build trust between community members and health response teams in the affected areas of Beni and Butembo.
In 2018, Interpeace stands at the eve of its 25th anniversary, marking a quarter century of experience building peace in over 20 countries in Africa, the Middle East, Latin America and Europe. Interpeace has over this period learned and applied several fundamental lessons about the nature of peace and conflict.

One truism that has consistently underpinned all Interpeace initiatives is the fact that only trust can bring lasting peace to bear. Fostering local ownership, mutual understanding and inclusive dialogue to build trust have formed the foundation of the organization’s peacebuilding initiatives. This is because we have learned that trust is always the first element that is lost when conflict erupts, and the last to be regained for the successful resolution of a violent conflict.

Interpeace’s President Scott Weber explains the place of trust in nurturing lasting peace.

“The heart of building peace in a sustainable way must have trust at its very core. Trust is critical for peace actors investing in their own societies, and critical for them in agreeing to work together towards a common objective. There is a saying in Kinyarwanda that if we cannot sweat together, we cannot live together. It is all about building a common future,” says Mr. Weber.

One of the reasons why trust is central to building peace is the difference between peacebuilding and mediation. In a mediation process, a third party helps the conflicting parties to reach the results that neither side is fully happy with, but that they can sufficiently accept. Peacebuilding is about the parties jointly developing a solution, rather than to try to get as much of their own agenda integrated as with the mediation process.

“Like the saying in Kinyarwanda infers, it is the act of working together to build a better future that builds trust,” Mr. Weber adds. “As our former Chairman Martti Ahtisaari used to say, a peace agreement is just a piece of paper. The real challenge of building peace often starts after you have signed an agreement.

The breakdown of trust is consistently one of the most persistent drivers of conflict. Evidence from Interpeace’s work has demonstrated that the breakdown of trust—whether it be between governments and citizens; security forces and local communities; or between communities themselves—is consistently one of the most persistent drivers of conflict. Given the close link between mistrust and exclusion, Interpeace places particular emphasis on inclusion and dialogue as the primary tools for building sustainable, locally driven peace, anchored on trust.

In addition to trust, Interpeace recognizes that there is always a sliver of hope or capacity—a resilience factor or some other unseen building block—which is specific to a particular conflict situation, and which can be shaped into the cornerstone of a new peace, founded on trust.

Trust: The Cornerstone of Peace
**Interpeace’s greatest achievements have involved helping societies to not only overcome their biggest challenges in statebuilding, peace and reconciliation, but to do so in a way that local actors take ownership of the processes and feel a sense of pride in having made the achievements themselves. Interpeace has over the last 25 years established a precedent for sustainable solutions that local people can drive their own peacbuilding processes. The “Pillars of Peace” programme, established in 2009, sought to build trust and social cohesion in the communities of Somaliland, Puntland and southern and central Somalia. It paved the way for the “Democratization” programme, which approached state reconstruction and governance processes in the Somali region from a peacebuilding perspective, seeking the participation of the widest possible stakeholder spectrum. Together, these two programmes played a considerable role in nurturing trust between local communities and their leaders.**

**Interpeace supported the Transitional Puntland Electoral Commission (TFEC) in the process leading up to the passing of a revised constitution in 2012, which laid the foundation for local council elections in which vote outcomes were accepted by both the contesting parties and the voters, signifying an increase in trust. In Somaliland, Interpeace supported the successful completion of the biometric voter registration process, with the production of a trusted, credible voter list and a successful presidential election on November 2017.**

**Interpeace’s current programme in Somalia, Talo Wadaag, seeks to deepen sustainable peacebuilding and democratization by strengthening citizen and stakeholder engagement in governance and conflict prevention through a widely accepted social contract based on trust.**
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Supporting National Actors to Consolidate Peace

Interpeace’s mandate involves delivering high quality peacebuilding at the community and national levels, and assisting the international community to play a more effective role in supporting peacebuilding and violence prevention efforts at the global level. This is especially crucial in parts of the world where internal conflicts can easily seep across borders into neighbouring countries and entire regions.

Interpeace and its local partners have worked in Libya since 2011, with the aim of building an architecture for peace in the divided country. The 2011 Libyan Revolution resulted in continued political and social tensions and the widespread attrition of trust among communities that previously co-existed peacefully. As the communities began to resurrect historical wounds, the situation deteriorated in 2014 when active violence erupted between various Libyan political camps vying for power. Interpeace continues to invest in supporting national actors to identify the many communities that have remained relatively stable despite the overall conflict. Interpeace’s goal is to work with these communities to strengthen their cohesion and capacity to resolve conflicts peacefully, contributing to overall peacebuilding and reconciliation efforts in Libya by highlighting examples of how maintaining trust can help secure the peace.

At the beginning of 2012, Mali experienced an unprecedented political and security crisis. Since then, some steps have been taken to stabilize the country and restore peace, particularly the 2015 Algiers Peace Agreement. The implementation of the Agreement has nonetheless been glacial, and it is widely acknowledged that significant efforts are still needed to address the structural problems that triggered the 2012 crisis.

Interpeace has been working in Mali since 2013, with its local partner, the Malian Institute of Action Research for Peace (IMRAP). One of the priorities for peace is rebuilding trust between the security and defense forces and the population. This came out of the concern by the Malians that it will not be possible to guarantee their security without the establishment of trust and collaboration between the security sector and the population. Since 2017, Interpeace and IMRAP have been building bridges of dialogue and mediation between the population and the various defense and security agencies, such as the army, police, gendarmerie and national guard. The sustainability of these bridges fosters lasting trust and peace at the local and national levels.

The military authorities have lent their cooperation to these efforts by Interpeace and IMRAP, and in addition, Interpeace’s IPAT team trained the staff of the UN Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali (MINUSMA) to adapt a peacebuilding approach to peacekeeping. Interpeace is becoming the main point of reference in Mali regarding peace responsive programming, while IMRAP has acquired a unique position in Mali, both in terms of its access and the trust it has developed with the defense and security agencies—right from the top echelons to the foot soldiers—as well as with communities affected by insecurity.

The military authorities have lent their cooperation to these efforts by Interpeace and IMRAP, and in addition, Interpeace’s IPAT team trained the staff of the UN Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali (MINUSMA) to adapt a peacebuilding approach to peacekeeping. Interpeace is becoming the main point of reference in Mali regarding peace responsive programming, while IMRAP has acquired a unique position in Mali, both in terms of its access and the trust it has developed with the defense and security agencies—right from the top echelons to the foot soldiers—as well as with communities affected by insecurity.

Promoting a Peace Responsive Approach to Global Challenges

In line with Interpeace’s mandate, to leverage the international community’s impact in conflict affected contexts, Interpeace has developed a wide set of partnerships with key UN and international NGO partners to advance their peace responsiveness capabilities. In 2018, a renewed policy and learning agenda, and a better articulated change framework have enabled Interpeace to better recognize the footprint and possible contribution to peace that other international actors can have in their own scope of intervention, ranging from humanitarian aid, to development, human rights, and other fields. Partnering with this wide set of actors so that peace dividends can be scaled up in conflict affected societies is at the core of Interpeace’s aim to leverage impact. This strategy of engagement calls for a peace responsive international system and is in line with the United Nations’ Sustaining Peace agenda.

An important example is Interpeace’s work with the World Health Organization (WHO) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). Since 2018, the DRC has been afflicted by the second largest Ebola outbreak in recorded human history. The response team, jointly coordinated by the national authorities and WHO, has struggled to secure support, let alone trust, from locally affected communities to embrace the philosophy of the health response. This climate of mistrust poses a risk of wider spread of Ebola, both further afield in the country and region, and potentially to other parts of the world. In response to this conflict, Interpeace sought to bring greater understanding regarding the challenges to the health response. Activities included carrying out research and bringing together key local actors to help build trust between members of the communities in the outbreak epicentres of Beni and Butembo on one hand, and the health response teams on the other.

In another example, Interpeace and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) have partnered to develop and test tools that will enable more systematic and robust conflict-sensitive programming - while ensuring they are practical and can become embedded in FAO’s programmes in support of its Member States.
Through Interpeace’s Advisory Team (IPAT), the two organizations are working together to develop and test tools, tailored to and rooted in FAO’s work, that will enable more systematic and robust peace responsive programming—while ensuring they are practical and can become embedded across FAO’s goal of eradicating hunger. This collaboration recognizes the reality that conflict is a major driver of food insecurity and malnutrition, as well as the fact that most severe hunger crises occur in situations affected by violent conflict. Together, Interpeace and FAO seek to further discussion on and highlight policy and programmatic linkages between food, agriculture, natural resources and peacebuilding, in support of FAO’s Corporate Framework on sustainable peace.

As can be deduced from Interpeace’s collaborations with WHO and FAO, Interpeace seeks to promote a cross-sectoral approach, contributing a much-needed peacebuilding angle to the ambitions of UN Member States to “leave no one behind” through the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the 2030 Agenda in general. Although Interpeace’s mandate most closely resonates with SDG Goal 16 on Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions, the organization has boldly embraced the humanitarian-development-peace nexus, which encourages peace responsiveness of the international system and in all states – developed and developing alike.

This peace responsive approach fosters more cohesive collaboration among organizations and agencies working in the humanitarian, development and peacebuilding areas, with the aim of better identifying and seizing opportunities to enhance trust and social cohesion.

“Increasing the Role of Women and Youth in Peacebuilding

In keeping with Interpeace’s values and principles, fostering trust and empowering women, youth and other, often-excluded groups in transforming conflict are core aspects of the organization’s peacebuilding approach.

Since exclusion breeds mistrust and conflict, Interpeace continues to advocate a greater role for women and youth in building peace, in this way promoting a “whole society” approach to peacebuilding. In Guinea-Bissau, Interpeace and its local partner, Voz di Paz, fostered the participation of women in decision-making. A national dialogue and debate on the role of women in decision-making was launched in 2018, giving voice to actors that would not ordinarily have the chance to express their opinions and concerns, and raising awareness on the issue of gender. In Mali, Interpeace and its partner IMRAP encouraged the inclusion of women in the armed forces as a key factor in restoring trust between populations and security forces.

The case is not too different with the youth, who, like women, suffer exclusion in many societies.

“Basically, we have learned that at the heart of most conflicts in the world there is some form of exclusion—political, social, or economic—and a sense of injustice that it creates. And there is no group more excluded in society than women, and now increasingly youth,” says Mr. Weber.

“In most of the countries in which we work, the youth population constitutes about 70% of the population, yet they do not have a voice in the life of the society.”

This situation calls forth two important points. Firstly, it emphasizes the importance of working in an inclusive manner. But more importantly, even, it calls for a focus on the sources of exclusion in society, and the need to actually break down the barriers to inclusion that were often deliberately put in place by some groups.

The potential role of the youth in building trust and inclusion is illustrated by a recent experience in Kenya’s Mandera County, where Interpeace has worked since 2014 in partnership with the National Cohesion and Integration Commission (NCIC). Mandera County has historically experienced violent inter-clan clashes leading to the death and displacement of local populations in their tens of thousands. After four years of gradual trust building, a clan conflict erupted in 2018. The Interpeace Peacebuilding Team—with a dominant composition of
local youth from the various clans—quickly mobilized an inclusive, cross-clan peace process that brought together over 250 key stakeholders. This resulted in an agreement on the immediate cessation of hostilities, the handover of murder suspects to the authorities, and the safe return of 19,000 internally displaced people. The handover of murder suspects, who are presently undergoing trial, was a significant step forward because unlike in the past, their clans did not try to protect them from facing justice. This testifies to the level of trust that has become ingrained in Mandera, whereby the assailants were identified as lawbreakers rather than shielded by their clan affiliation. This shows the transformation and leadership that youth can show when allowed a chance.

As Mr. Weber aptly puts it, peace is relative, and inclusivity is key to building trust for often excluded groups such as women and youth.

“Everyone says they want peace, but generally what they mean is their peace, and my peace excludes you,” says Mr Weber. “The key is therefore to not just focus on trying to steer a peace objective as though it is naturally inclusive, because often peace is actually exclusive in the minds of many. As such, we have to work deliberately, and with a focused attention on the sources of exclusion in society, if we want to overcome these challenges.”

Evidence from Interpeace’s work has demonstrated that the breakdown of trust—whether it be between governments and citizens; security forces and local communities; or between communities themselves—is consistently one of the most persistent drivers of conflict.
Kenya

Mandera is a county that faces significant peace, security and development challenges. Located in Kenya’s North Eastern Region, along the border with Ethiopia and Somalia, Mandera County has intermittently experienced clan-based clashes. Interpeace and local partner, the National Cohesion and Integration Commission (NCIC) began to implement a joint pilot peacebuilding programme in Mandera in 2014.

The security situation in Mandera County, Kenya has improved recently in spite of ongoing inter-clan clashes and cross border terror attacks exacerbating what is already a fragile environment. The terror attacks are predominantly carried out by the militant group, Al Shabaab, which opposes Kenyan military involvement in Somalia.

Looking ahead Interpeace will continue its peacebuilding work in Mandera County in partnership with the NCIC, and expand into the North Rift Region which has also experienced frequent waves of tribal violence among local pastoralist communities.

The “Track-Capture-Kill” approach to Countering Violent Extremism, has largely proved counterproductive and has led to further radicalization.

Interpeace’s programme in Kenya works closely with local partner NCIC, a government agency mandated to foster lasting peace and cohesion between Kenya’s various ethnic communities.

Together with NCIC, the Mandera Peacebuilding Programme seeks to transform grassroots communities into agents of peace, capable of managing conflicts through non-violent means. Significantly, programme activities include advocacy with national leadership to ensure the enactment of policies addressing root causes of the conflicts.

In April 2018, fighting broke out between the Degodia and Garre clans in Banisa Sub-County, leaving 32,000 persons displaced. The Mandera Peacebuilding Programme immediately mobilized a cross-clan peace process bringing together 252 stakeholders to broker a swift ceasefire. The agreement resulted in an immediate cessation to hostilities, the hand-over of murder suspects to the authorities, and the safe return of some 19,000 displaced. The successful agreement was largely possible due to recognition of the NCIC-Interpeace team as neutral peace mediators.

The agreement resulted in an immediate cessation to hostilities, the hand-over of murder suspects to the authorities, and the safe return of some 19,000 displaced. The successful agreement was largely possible due to recognition of the NCIC-Interpeace team as neutral peace mediators.

Fostering the role of women and supporting youth platforms in peacebuilding processes

The Mandera Peacebuilding Programme included promoting the role of women in the process. The peacebuilding team mobilized 25 women opinion leaders to take part in the Banisa conflict intervention. The active participation by women in the peace discussion was widely recognized as a positive development.

The NCIC-Interpeace team is working as well to strengthen local youth leadership for peace and reconciliation, via the Mandera County Vital Voices Network (MCVVN). The network is viewed as a valuable platform for peace and is a leading voice on key questions such as the radicalization of vulnerable youth.

Violence reduction through cross-clan collaboration

The Mandera Programme has been the lead agency steering discussions around the development of the Mandera County Action Plan on CVE based on its recognized expertise in Participatory Action Research (PAR). The Plan includes efforts to improve relations and trust between security agencies and the local communities – and particularly the youth community.

Addressing violent extremism
As Burundi heads towards general elections in 2020, the country environment is one of political impasse between a dominant ruling party and a fractured and weakened opposition – a dynamic heightened since contested 2015 elections. Demonstrations by the opposition and an attempted military coup, followed by a crackdown from state security forces, resulted in arrests and violence, radicalization and the flight of thousands of Burundians. The political situation has led to a severe economic strain, with major development partners leaving the country after 2015 and only now looking for avenues to re-engage. For a country already dealing with high rates of poverty, the withdrawal of international assistance has been particularly difficult. Recent changes to law governing non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and crackdowns on civil society have led several international NGOs to close their programmes in Burundi. Although the economy has improved marginally since 2015, Burundi ranks 184th out of 188 countries on the Human Development index.

The fact that the country has not descended into widespread violence can be attributed in part to the steadfast efforts of actors working to build community resilience and strengthen collaborative relationships across political and social divides. Yet, there are many signs that point to the increasing instrumentalization of ethnic narratives, both through “fake news” and polarizing political discourse aimed at increasing fear, suspicion and hatred.

The programme’s goal is to contribute to building a peaceful future for Burundi by strengthening the resilience capacities for peace among youth and promote inclusive decision-making in pursuit of a common vision. Interpeace, together with partner Conflict Alert and Prevention Centre, (CENAP), works with local and national political leaders, youth affiliated with political parties and communities at risk of conflict. The positive engagement of these groups is essential towards achieving sustainable peace in Burundi.

In the current Burundian context where divergent perspectives and political differences are often unwelcome, and where space for civil society engagement has narrowed, this open and respectful exchange is a significant step towards reinvigorating political pluralism.

May you have roots, may you have a future

The current programme, Gira lyo Uva Niyo Uja (May you have roots, may you have a future) builds upon youth consultations to develop a new, long-term vision for a peaceful Burundi.

In August 2018, Interpeace and CENAP facilitated a dialogue among national political leaders and national elected representatives – from ruling and opposition parties - focusing on the concerns of youth as captured in CENAP’s research publication, Youth Aspirations for a Future Burundi. The CENAP research is based on a series of consultations with young people from diverse political, community and religious backgrounds, to identify key concerns, develop joint initiatives and engage decision-makers on solutions building. The dialogue was among the few national fora since 2015 bringing together actors from across the political spectrum.

In the current Burundian context where divergent perspectives and political differences are often unwelcome, and where space for civil society engagement has narrowed, this open and respectful exchange is a significant step towards reinvigorating political pluralism.
Rwanda

More than two decades after the 1994 Genocide against the Tutsi, Rwanda has made substantial progress in the social, economic and political realms. The country has developed a viable multiparty system, while the determined efforts of numerous state and civil society initiatives are gradually leading the country towards peaceful coexistence between individuals and diverse groups. Despite these impressive gains, however, challenges to sustainable peace remain, stemming from both the period before and after the Genocide.

Individuals maintain a significant level of psychological wounds not properly addressed through existing reconciliation policies and programmes. Aside from primarily clinical approaches, there has been an absence of structured and community-based healing processes. As a result, the level of trust and cooperation within Rwandan society remains a challenge to the creation of the sustainable social cohesion required for a thriving society.

Supporting trauma healing processes

Trauma healing remains a pressing public health issue in Rwanda. Local partner Never Again Rwanda (NAR) and Interpeace have contributed towards addressing this challenge by implementing a psychosocial support group programme entitled Healing Spaces, fostering both individual healing and social cohesion.

After four years of programme implementation, an end-line report on Healing Spaces has demonstrated that trauma is still heavily present in the population, including among youth born after the Genocide. However, the report also outlines the overall positive impact of the group therapy. Data from the report shows the programme has successfully reduced the effects of trauma among participants by 25%; group participants also reported a reduced likelihood to seek revenge through violence. Significantly, over the course of the programme, the number of participants with regular suicidal thoughts dropped by 66%.

Interpeace’s programme aims to contribute to the consolidation of a peaceful and inclusive Rwandan society, empowered to overcome the wounds of the past, peacefully manage conflicts and diversity, and to influence policies responding to citizen priorities. This vision is implemented through two axes: healing and participatory governance.

The report has raised significant interest from State institutions and other stakeholders responsible for issues of social cohesion, reconciliation and mental health.

Trauma healing is increasingly becoming an important element in peacebuilding processes in post-conflict countries and Interpeace together with NAR and other partners, intends to widely disseminate the report findings.

The programme has successfully reduced the effects of trauma among participants by 25%; group participants also reported a reduced likelihood to seek revenge through violence.
After a period of relative optimism and progress, critical statebuilding processes in the Somali Region have stalled due to an impasse in reaching consensus among different stakeholders at the federal and state levels. At the same time, progress made towards agreement on certain key transitional tasks – such as the electoral model, constituency type, resource sharing, and the constitutional review – has been undermined by these tensions. It is crucial to ensure that critical statebuilding and peacebuilding processes are as participatory and inclusive as possible, to provide the much-needed legitimacy and acceptance that will ensure sustainable progress in the region.

For over 20 years, Interpeace has worked in the Somali Region supporting statebuilding and peacebuilding processes. Working with and through Somali partners, the Academy for Peace and Development (APD) in Somaliland and the Puntland Development & Research Centre (PDRC) in Puntland, Interpeace has played a major role in bridging the gap between and among local communities and their leaders at all levels.

Through accompanied capacity building and strategic oversight provided by Interpeace, the level of willingness for cooperation in support of reconciliation has increased.

Strengthening local peace structures in Galkayo and Burtinle

The conflict affected areas of Galkayo and Burtinle, are representative of the wider Somali conflict. Interpeace’s engagement in both areas has therefore created a strategic position for future peacebuilding efforts elsewhere in the region. With local partner PDRC, Interpeace has provided technical support to strengthen the Joint Peace and Early Warning Committees. This includes training sessions on mitigation and early warning techniques, and capacity-building on context-appropriate communication mechanisms for conflict prevention. The collaboration facilitated by Interpeace has allowed local team members to bridge the conflict divide and effectively engage their respective communities in peacebuilding efforts.

Fostering social cohesion

The Somali Peacebuilding and Democratization Programme, Talo Wadaag (2017-2021) has helped create opportunities for collaboration and convergence between different stakeholders, to advance peacebuilding processes across the Somali Region. Through accompanied capacity building and strategic oversight provided by Interpeace, the level of willingness for cooperation in support of reconciliation has increased.
Democratic Republic of the Congo

After two years of uncertain electoral processes in the DRC, opposition candidate Félix Tshisekedi won national election in December 2018. Despite irregularities in the process, Tshisekedi’s inauguration marked the first peaceful transfer of power in the DRC’s history and gives some hope for change and national reconciliation. The elections however, overshadowed the second largest Ebola outbreak in recorded human history, centered in the eastern provinces of North Kivu and Ituri. Since its outbreak in August until December 2018, the epidemic caused an estimated 326 deaths according to the World Health Organization (WHO).

The elections of 2018 have been overshadowed by the second largest Ebola outbreak in recorded in human history.

Together for Beni

In 2018, Interpeace entered a consortium named Ensemble pour Beni (“Together for Beni”) with four other organizations in the Beni Territory of North Kivu Province to engage local communities and the authorities in a self-analysis around challenges, opportunities, and potential solutions for peace in the Beni Territory. The territory is one of the epicenters of the Ebola outbreak in the DRC, and also the site of violent conflicts perpetrated by different armed groups, which has hampered efforts to contain the disease. The consortium brings together the International Organization for Migration (IOM), Interpeace, Search for Common Ground, World Vision, and the Christian Bilingual University of Congo (UCBC), with the support of the United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the DRC (MONUSCO).

To address this, Interpeace launched a project to help restore trust between Ebola response workers and members of the local community.

Interpeace launched a project to help restore trust between Ebola response workers and members of the local community.

Strengthening trust between the population and Ebola response team

The Beni and Butembo territories have borne the brunt of the DRC’s ongoing Ebola epidemic, and response teams coordinated by the national authorities and the WHO have experienced mistrust from the local communities, undermining Ebola response efforts. The lack of trust between key actors in the face of the Ebola outbreak threatens to exacerbate the epidemic, potentially affecting the entire Great Lakes Region and beyond.

In the Kasai region, Interpeace launched a trust-building programme following a resurgence of conflict between local militants and national security forces in 2016. The conflict has caused mass population movement in the region, driving tens of thousands across the border into Angola and leaving hundreds of thousands internally displaced. The Interpeace programme in Kasai seeks to facilitate dialogue and mediation for reconciliation, as well as social cohesion among the local communities, the authorities, and the security forces. The programme is of crucial importance in a region where customary power is seen as superseding that of the national authority.

Restoring trust in the Kasai Region

The overall objective of Interpeace’s work in in the DRC is to harness research, dialogue and collective action to help build trust between communities in conflict, as well as between communities, national authorities, the private sector and the international community. We aim to strengthen the capacities of key actors, in effective prevention, management and transformation of conflicts to enable them to promote sustainable peace.
Great Lakes Regional Programme

Over the past year, the Great Lakes Region has witnessed socio-political developments with significant repercussions for peace, owing to the interdependence of Burundi, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and Rwanda. Many of the conflicts in the region have been fueled by the manipulation of identities and stereotypes, which has contributed to the breakdown of trust. Strong mistrust and suspicion have as a result emerged as fundamental obstacles for sustainable peace among the peoples of the three bordering countries.

In Burundi, a constitutional referendum held in May 2018 allowing for the extension of presidential term limits, was approved by more than 70% of voters amid a climate of political repression. In addition, the Government of Burundi announced a three-month ban on international NGOs operating within the country and outlined measures to increase control over activities of national Civil Society Organizations.

In the DRC, presidential elections were held on 30 December 2018 leading to the declaration of an opposition candidate as winner. Although this declaration triggered protests and claims of massive electoral fraud, no major outbreak of violence was reported. The Ebola crisis continues to afflict the DRC’s North Kivu province claiming over 1,500 lives as of June 2019 and undermining the health security of the Congolese population.

The volatility of the current situation in Burundi and the DRC has led to mass population movements. According to UNHCR, hundreds of thousands of Burundians and Congolese have sought refuge in neighbouring countries.

Rwanda remains the most stable country in the region. Nevertheless, while the DRC has relatively stable relations with its two neighbours, those between Rwanda and Burundi are strained and these regional dynamics have the potential to weaken all three countries.

In 2018, the Bukavu-Kamembe cross border permanent dialogue helped create an exchange mechanism for communication between Rwandan and DRC authorities.

Interpeace’s Cross-border Dialogue for Peace in the Great Lakes Region programme seeks to create and promote peace, stability and social cohesion through strengthened resilience capacities for peace and reconciliation throughout the region. It aims to engage community members and decision-makers in creating safe spaces for inclusive dialogue between diverse actors in the region, conduct research that prompts debate and influences policy formulation, promotes a regional approach to peace education, and integrates peacebuilding approaches in youth entrepreneurship initiatives.

In 2018, the Bukavu-Kamembe cross border permanent dialogue group with the support of Interpeace’s partners in Rwanda and the DRC’s South Kivu Province, helped create an exchange mechanism for communication between Rwandan and DRC authorities.

Another such example comes from the Rwanda-Burundi frontier. Despite ongoing diplomatic tensions between the countries, the Bugesera-Kirundo permanent dialogue group, with the support of Interpeace’s partners in Burundi and Rwanda respectively, demonstrated a welcome solidarity. Following the expulsion of Burundians from Rwanda and the separation of families, Rwandan members of the dialogue group advocated for the rights of the expelled Burundians.

Importantly, there are encouraging signs of increased autonomy among the permanent dialogue groups. For example, the Bugarama-Kamanyola-Cibitoke tripartite permanent dialogue group led independent peace education initiatives in the Rwanda-Burundi-DRC tri-border area, with Interpeace partner organizations invited as participants.
Cyprus

As of 2018, the political climate in Cyprus remains unconducive to the resumption of formal negotiations to reunify the island. Fifteen months after the closure of UN-backed talks in Switzerland, the two Cypriot leaderships continued to blame one another for the failure of the negotiations and their communities appear as distant as ever.

The stalled process has also brought to the fore simmering tensions between the Greek Cypriot government and Turkey, regarding jurisdiction over offshore oil and gas reserves in the Eastern Mediterranean.

In his latest report to the Security Council, the UN Secretary-General has reiterated the availability of his good offices for a new round of talks, should the leaders commit to enter them in a spirit of compromise. The meeting of Cypriot leadership for the opening of new island checkpoints, in October, was seen as an encouraging step in that direction. Arguing that prospects for a settlement remain alive, the Secretary-General encouraged the involvement of civil society groups and political parties in building a constituency for peace. The fate of a settlement negotiation resumption depends as much on the ability of all sides to remobilize the disenchanted population around a shared vision of the future, as on leadership’s political will.

The fate of a settlement negotiation resumption depends as much on the ability of all sides to remobilize the disenchanted population around a shared vision of the future, as on leadership’s political will.

Interpeace with partner, the Centre for Sustainable Peace and Democratic Development (SeeD), has focused on connecting Track 1 level negotiations – involving government and political elites – with civil society and the wider population. The programme aims to promote the eventual agreement and implementation of a comprehensive settlement, through application of global peace process best practices and support to key Cypriot organizations.

Promoting women’s inclusion in the peace process

The Security Dialogue Initiative (SDI), implemented by SeeD, focuses on investigating gender-based insecurity and women’s inclusion in the peace process. Research findings show a close relationship between so-called “toxic masculinity” and women’s exclusion in decision making and intergroup relations. In response, outreach was conducted on the findings including wide dissemination among relevant UN parties, international and local actors.

Interpeace has focused on connecting Track 1 level negotiations with civil society and the wider population.

Fostering mutual understanding through the Cyprus Dialogue Forum

Interpeace programming also provided support for the Cyprus Dialogue Forum (CDF), a permanent dialogue platform bringing together policy makers and civil society from diverse sectors of the two Cypriot communities. In the period following the talks in Switzerland, CDF succeeded in maintaining and even increasing its inter-communal work, thus playing a valuable role as a “safety net” to the peace process in the absence of Track 1 progress.
The Libyan political stalemate endured throughout 2018 despite the attempts of the United Nations Support Mission in Libya (UNSMIL) and other actors of the International Community to reach a political settlement. Special Representative of the Secretary-General (SRSG) Ghassan Salamé led several rounds of talks and initiatives aimed at resuming dialogue on the stalled Libyan Political Agreement (LPA), signed in Morocco in December 2015. At the same time, military operations intensified in the battle for Tripoli between General Khalifa Haftar, supported by his self-styled Libyan National Army, and Al-Sarraj supported by the Government of National Accord (GNA) and local armed groups. Recent infighting has highlighted again how the country’s national priorities are decided and dictated by a small fraction of Libyans. The focus on the national-level political track fails to grasp the magnitude of socio-political rifts tearing Libyan communities apart and rendering any top-down approach unlikely to solve the current crisis. Internal social cohesion within most Libyan communities has been subject to immense pressures as community members attempt to make sense of the fast-evolving political climate and alliance dynamics.

Such internal communal divisions render the potential for success of track-one level dialogue increasingly difficult over the short-term. The space and means for collaboration and public participation in decision-making have diminished from both the local sphere up to the higher political level where the quest for power has become the main impediment for achieving national unity and reconciliation.

With this intensity of militaristic competition and political monopoly, the situation presents urgency for the promotion of social cohesion and conflict transformation as it does not only act as a prevention mechanism from further confrontation and escalation of violence, but crucially for advancing piecemeal good governance and dialogue practices locally and nationally.

Reducing levels of conflict and violence

In 2018, Interpeace successfully established a network of change agents, consisting of more than 50 community leaders/influencers from 12 communities in the West and South of Libya. The agents were trained in conflict analysis and transformation, dialogue facilitation, and conflict resolution. The community leaders have since engaged in conflict and violence reduction efforts, as well as in strengthening intra- and inter-communal cohesion.

Following training, the community leaders have engaged in conflict and violence reduction efforts, as well as in strengthening intra- and inter-communal cohesion.

The programme seeks to strengthen intra- and inter-communal social cohesion by strengthening internal capacities for conflict management, fostering state-society relations through constructive exchanges between central authorities and local communities, and contributing to the emergence of cohesive communities.
Palestine

2018 was particularly turbulent for the Palestinian community, owing to heightened tensions in the Gaza Strip and a series of political setbacks to the peaceful resolution of the Palestinian-Israeli conflict. Beginning March 2018, Palestinians in the Gaza Strip undertook persistent demonstrations along the border with Israel, demanding an end to the siege and the right to return to their homes and land.

Palestinian political efforts were complicated and weakened by continuing internal divisions. The two dominant political parties/movements, Fatah and Hamas, failed to reunite their interests and move towards national reconciliation. Despite the rapidly deteriorating situation, the year was marked by an overall decline in attention from the international community with regard to the Palestinian cause, in addition to the tendency towards normalization of relations between some Arab States and Israel.

The year 2018 was particularly turbulent for the Palestinian community, owing to heightened tensions in the Gaza Strip and a series of political setbacks to the peaceful resolution of the Palestinian-Israeli conflict.

Interpeace’s Mustakbalna Programme has worked to promote constructive dialogue and greater stability within the Palestinian community as well as to enhance civil peace. The underlying rationale has been to strengthen the coherence of the Palestinian community so that its representatives can more effectively engage in future negotiations to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, based on a common vision for Palestine.

Through the programme, young women were connected with decision-makers, women leaders and local civil society actors to foster a shared understanding of the challenges faced by young women.

Strengthening the role of young women as advocates of peace and security

The Mustakbalna programme together with its partner, The Freedom Theatre, assisted young women to leverage the transformative potential of UNSCR 1325 on Women, Peace and Security, to advocate for a greater role for themselves in community security processes in the West Bank. Funded by the Folke Bernadotte Academy, the programme empowered young women to stand up for their rights by pairing technical knowledge about UNSCR 1325 with training on leadership and advocacy. Through the programme, young women were connected with decision-makers, women leaders and local civil society actors to foster a shared understanding of the challenges faced by young women and as a basis for continued engagement and participation.

Re-invigorating youth in Gaza to promote messages of unity and reconciliation

During 2018, Mustakbalna conducted a training workshop for youth on the use of mobile technology and social media platforms to promote Palestinian unity and reconciliation. The training helped foster a sense of national unity among youth and reinvigorated in them a desire to find solutions to end internal divisions amongst Palestinians.
Morocco

Morocco has often been presented by observers as one of the exceptions of the “Arab Spring”. In fact, its government was able to pre-empt and stave off popular protests by promulgating a new constitution that paved the way for political and economic liberalization. However, the reforms failed to address a portion of the population, which identified themselves as economically and socially marginalized. By 2016 a new wave of popular protests, known locally as the Hirak, brought hundreds of thousands of discontented citizens to the streets.

One year after the end of the Hirak movement, the Moroccan Government and civil society have yet to identify solutions to address the socio-economic and political grievances voiced by thousands of citizens across the country. The arrival of a new government in 2017 has favoured the resumption of dialogue, but tripartite discussions throughout 2018 between trade unions, employers and the government did not reach any agreement.

In the current stalemate, long-established political parties, unions and non-governmental organizations, traditionally perceived as the main mediators with the government, have been struggling to provide innovative solutions to unlock social dialogue. In parallel, new civil society actors and movements - especially popular among youth - emerged in the margins of the traditional sphere during the Hirak protests.

In this context, it is crucial for traditional and new civil society actors to join forces and promote constructive dialogue with the government, in order to reach peaceful and viable solutions.

In November 2018, Interpeace launched a new initiative aimed at enhancing social dialogue between Moroccan civil society and authorities through the strengthening of conflict transformation capacities.

Strengthening Conflict Transformation Capacities

The Interpeace initiative brings together civil society actors, social media influencers, and select group of government stakeholders, to strengthen their conflict transformation capacity. Through a series of focused workshops and dialogue sessions culminating in 2020, participants will increase their knowledge of, and ability to apply key conflict transformation approaches and tools, with the goal of enhancing social dialogue in Morocco.
West Africa and Sahel Region
Côte d’Ivoire

Despite the government’s narrative and stated objective to position Côte d’Ivoire as an “emerging economy,” deep socio-economic inequalities persist, and many challenges remain to be addressed in order to ensure sustainable peace and development.

Faced with inequalities, marginalization and a lack of opportunities, youth are particularly vulnerable and can be subject to political manipulation. In urban contexts, some youth in search of social recognition have joined youth gangs—so-called “microbes”—that perpetrate violence which leads to equally violent counter-reactions from communities and authorities. A profound educational crisis is also affecting families, schools and communities in Côte d’Ivoire. The proliferation of youth enrollment in Quranic schools represents a risk but also an opportunity in this environment. Quranic schools can be a source of positive resilience with a high degree of legitimacy and acceptance in communities. Nevertheless, there could be instances when ill-intentioned masters may use the strong authority they have over their students (talibés) to incite them towards violence.

Faced with inequalities, marginalization and a lack of opportunities, youth are particularly vulnerable.

A significant number of children who attend unofficial Islamic education structures do not simultaneously attend public schools. Interpeace is supporting the Ivorian Ministry of Education in shaping the development of the “National Strategy on Integration of Islamic Education Structures”, in the formal education system in Côte d’Ivoire.

Perceptions of and attitudes towards the so-called “microbes”, have notably improved in the Abidjan districts of Abobo and Yopougon.

Helping re-shape national policy on Islamic Education Structures

In the Western District of “Les Montagnes,” Interpeace and Indigo Côte d’Ivoire successfully fostered collaboration and increased trust between local authorities and informal “Peace Committees” in nine localities. By strengthening conflict management skills among members of these Committees, this project helped to successfully decrease social tensions within these communities.

Fostering sustainable social cohesion

Perceptions of and attitudes towards the so-called “microbe” groups have notably improved in the Abidjan districts of Abobo and Yopougon with the narrative around these youth becoming more humanized and less stigmatizing.

Destigmatizing the so-called “microbe” youth

Interpeace’s and Indigo Côte d’Ivoire’s efforts included working with journalists to promote fair and informed media coverage regarding youth gangs. Indigo also engaged directly with respected local community volunteers to foster the social reintegration of youth gang members, thus ensuring local ownership and sustainability of this social change process.
Mali

Communities often lack trust in government institutions and their representatives, such as the defense and security forces (DSF).

Malians continue to be faced with generalized violence on a daily basis. Despite being at the heart of the implementation of the Mali Peace Agreement signed in 2015, the regions of Timbuktu, Gao and Kidal in the North have been subject to regular attacks by various armed groups. Regular conflicts often involving inter-communal violence, are also ongoing in the regions of Mopti and Ségou, in central Mali. At the same time, presumed terrorist groups have extended their influence into the centre of Mali and have acted against the interests and presence of the State.

Implementation of the 2015 Peace Agreement is slowly moving forward however, in spite of these challenges. Interim authorities have been put in place in the northern regions of Gao, Kidal and Timbuktu and mixed patrols known as Operational Mechanisms for Coordination (MOC by their French acronym) were instituted under the Peace Accord, and are now present and semi-operational in all three regions of the North. The MOC are armed units comprised of the representatives of the three former belligerent groups and signatories of the Accord. Their primary mandate is to protect Disarmament, Demobilization and Re-integration (DDR) sites.

One of the main obstacles to peace in Mali, is that communities often lack trust in government institutions and their representatives, such as the defense and security forces (DSF). In addition, women are lacking participation in governance and decision-making spheres. Paradoxically, it is especially women who play a key role in fueling and resolving conflicts in Mali, particularly outside the formal spheres.

Supporting the implementation of the 2015 Peace Agreement

In the Gao Region, the MOC are perceived by the community as the main driver of insecurity and tense relations with local populations have aggravated the conflict. To address this, IMRAP has launched a trust-building process between the MOC and local populations which has led to the realization of joint community-led and owned actions. Interpeace and IMRAP have also supported the production of explanatory video-clips about the mission and mandate of the MOC, which have aired on national television in Mali, to help increase awareness and reduce tensions between the population and the MOC.

Interpeace’s overall goal in Mali is to promote and achieve participatory and inclusive dialogue, particularly aimed at rebuilding trust and facilitating collaboration between communities and the defense and security forces. This includes supporting the participation of women in security and defense forces, as well as facilitating dialogue to foster reconciliation between conflicting parties in the central and northern regions.

Supporting the inclusion of women in the armed forces

Our research shows that it is essential to improve women’s participation in Mali’s security apparatus in order to foster trust between civilians and DSF.

Interpeace and its partner organization IMRAP have supported structural changes for the effective inclusion of women in the armed forces.

Interpeace’s and its partner organization IMRAP have supported structural changes for the effective inclusion of women in the armed forces.
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Supporting the inclusion of women in the armed forces

Our research shows that it is essential to improve women’s participation in Mali’s security apparatus in order to foster trust between civilians and DSF.
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The exclusion of women remains as a structural and cultural problem that inhibits inclusive and sustainable peacebuilding.

For the last two decades, Guinea-Bissau has experienced major political turmoil, with successive coups and recurring confrontations between political authorities and the military. State dysfunctionality has a strong negative impact on the country at large, including on international support for civil society institutions, inhibiting sustainable peacebuilding and development.

The ongoing political impasse (since 2015) has further offset gains in women’s participation in leadership and decision-making, which is at an all-time low. Women continue to be underrepresented in key positions or levels of decision-making across all societal sectors. In the last legislative elections (March 2019) only 14 women out of 102 deputies have been elected in the National Assembly. The exclusion of women remains as a structural and cultural problem that inhibits inclusive and sustainable peacebuilding.

Strong resistances to the promotion of women’s participation pose a threat to the gains already made for wider peacebuilding in the country.

Interpeace and Voz di Paz are promoting the inclusion of women in governance and contributing to laying the foundations for a more peaceful society, by strengthening the role of women in conflict resolution. Throughout 2018, newly trained women mediators were integrated in Voz di Paz’s Regional Spaces for Dialogue (RSD) — local structures for peace across the country — with the percentage of female members increasing from 24% to 46%.

The Interpeace and Voz di Paz programme fostered a national dialogue and debate around the role of women in decision-making. The dialogue gave voice to actors that would not ordinarily have the chance to express their opinions and concerns and raised awareness on the issue of gender. In March 2018, the programme published the report Fala di Mindjer (The Voices of Women), to highlight the barriers to women in decision-making while, during the year, radio spots and TV programmes highlighting the issue were broadcast more than 3,000 times and public debates held with over 180 participants in all regions of the country.

Furthermore, the capacity of key actors to advocate for gender in peacebuilding was strengthened through training held in Guinea-Bissau’s eight regions, with more than 250 women and men leaders, as well as journalists and members of the Defense and Security Forces.

Interpeace’s programme in Guinea-Bissau, implemented together with local partner Voz di Paz, aims to stimulate citizen engagement for good governance and peacebuilding, with a special focus on the most excluded groups of society. On gender, the programme aims to increase women’s participation in governance and conflict resolution. Concerning justice, we aim to foster an active citizenry for an effective intervention to improve the governance of justice.

The Interpeace programme concentrated on creating new mechanisms and build capacities for an active citizenry that is empowered to monitor, evaluate and advocate for adequate public policies in the justice sector, and to integrate traditional justice into the justice sector through a peacebuilding lens.

An essential component of the programme is the Participatory Barometer, which is the first ever to be used in Guinea-Bissau. The use of such a participatory tool is aimed at providing citizens an instrument to demand changes to the justice system. Notably, this is done through dialogue and discussion, aimed at informing the government of citizen expectations in relation to the justice system and the reform process. The results of the Barometer provide quantitative and representative information on the local governance of justice in the eight regions of Guinea-Bissau.
Other Programmes
Colombia

In September 2016, the Final Agreement ending the decades-long conflict between the Colombian government and the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC-EP) was signed to great acclaim. The National Police of Colombia, in anticipation of new post-conflict challenges, created the Police Unit for Peacebuilding – UNIPEP – which seeks to promote peaceful transformation of conflicts, as part of its institutional policies.

Social conflict and violence in Colombia are often interlinked with a series of conditions including the lack of a State response to social demands in territories highly affected by armed conflict, the presence of organized criminal groups; and illicit economies and natural resource disputes. In this context, the National Police is tasked with preserving security and peaceful coexistence and restricting violence. However, there exists a lack of non-coercive methods to prevent and avoid the escalation of the violence that emanates from social conflicts.

At the same time, a high incidence of gender-based violence among rural populations in Colombia, and the multiple obstacles faced by individuals in accessing prevention and care services, has also been identified as a critical concern affecting girls, women and the LGBTI community in the country.

Interpeace and Alianza para la Paz (APAZ) seek to increase the capacity of the State, specifically the National Police to prevent violence and transform conflict. Specifically the programme aims to prevent and address gender-based violence, as well as build capacities in the National Police to prevent and manage violence emanating from social conflicts.

The programme aims to prevent and address gender-based violence, as well as build capacities in the National Police to prevent and manage violence emanating from social conflicts.

Contributing to prevent gender-based violence

In 2018, over 170 police officers in seven selected municipalities received training on applying a gender perspective in their work. The APAZ-Interpeace programme also helped facilitate coordination between public institutions and civil society organizations, to develop joint initiatives against gender-based violence. Several police stations in selected municipalities were equipped to better prevent and respond to violence against women and the LGBTI community.

Building national capacities to prevent and manage violence

In 2018, Interpeace and APAZ launched the Conflict Transformation and Territorial Peace programme to help build National Police capacities to prevent and manage violence originating from social conflicts as well as gender-based violence.

Through Participatory Research Processes, the programme developed a diagnostic tool and conditions for dialogue in selected regions. The initiative includes up-to-date procedures, norms, protocols and programmatic training contents related to social conflicts, from a human rights and peacebuilding perspective.

The APAZ-Interpeace programme has helped facilitate coordination between public institutions and civil society organizations, to develop joint initiatives against gender-based violence.
Sweden

Prominent national dialogue has included public debate about the country’s asylum and immigration policies and the national approach to social integration.

Following the general election in September 2018, Sweden’s political environment has been complicated by the absence of a clear majority for any single political party. Prominent national dialogue has included public debate about the country’s asylum and immigration policies and the national approach to social integration. The debate has been impacted by a discernible increase in violent gang crime in the suburbs of Sweden’s larger cities, where many gang members are either first- or second-generation immigrants.

Interpeace’s work in Sweden has centred on empowering marginalized communities in and around major cities, such as Stockholm and Malmö.

Bridging the gap between marginalized youth and decision-makers

In 2018, the project, Hear me out! – a platform for young voices, implemented in collaboration with the City of Stockholm (Kista library), worked to strengthen confidence and communication skills, improve understanding of democratic norms and processes, and build trust between youth and decision-makers. Through capacity building workshops and dialogue platforms, the project fostered effective youth leadership for change, as well as mutual respect and understanding between youth and decision-makers.

Timor Leste

Timor-Leste has experienced an extended period of political instability and uncertainty since the July 2017 Parliamentary and Presidential Elections.

The deadlock reflects the country’s weak leadership. However, the President’s anti-corruption effort has been welcomed in a country where corruption is still a major issue and is perceived as an impediment to peace by most citizens.

Interpeace’s primary goal in Timor Leste is to continue to support the efforts of the Centre of Studies for Peace and Development (CEPAD) to address Corruption, Collusion and Nepotism (KKN by its local acronym), by focusing on awareness raising, encouraging government accountability and transparency, and providing citizens with the tools and understanding to prevent and combat KKN for socio-economic and political advancement.

Interpeace’s work in Timor-Leste has focused on empowering marginalized communities, particularly in and around major cities such as Dili and Baucau. The organisation has worked to strengthen confidence and communication skills, improve understanding of democratic norms and processes, and build trust between youth and decision-makers. Through capacity building workshops and dialogue platforms, the project fostered effective youth leadership for change, as well as mutual respect and understanding between youth and decision-makers.

Interpeace partner CEPAD continues to enjoy a nation-wide reputation for the quality of its inclusive engagement methodology on anti-corruption. The strategic partnerships established with the Anti-Corruption Commission, the Public Prosecutor’s Office and the Ombudsman’s Office, are a testament to CEPAD’s effective intervention building on its partnership with Interpeace.

Training journalists and editors in investigative journalism

In 2018, 26 people were trained on critical thinking and ethical, objective approaches to investigative reporting, to enhance their understanding of ways in which the media can address and report on issues concerning common forms of corruption. A training module on investigative journalism was developed and distributed to all media institutions for future reference. The intervention has a broader impact since media and journalism play a critical watchdog role in society, anchoring and deepening democracy.

Partnering with State institutions to support anti-corruption policies

In 2018, 26 people were trained on critical thinking and ethical, objective approaches to investigative reporting, to enhance their understanding of ways in which the media can address and report on issues concerning common forms of corruption. A training module on investigative journalism was developed and distributed to all media institutions for future reference. The intervention has a broader impact since media and journalism play a critical watchdog role in society, anchoring and deepening democracy.
Programme Development

Zimbabwe

In late 2017, President Robert Mugabe’s 30-year tenure ended when he was replaced by former Vice-President Emmerson Mnangagwa. The presidential and parliamentary elections called in July 2018 resulted in a close contest and victory by Emmerson Mnangagwa and were marked by violence that continued deep divisions between ruling and opposition party supporters. In late 2018, fuel as well as food and drug shortages reached new peaks following the announcement of unpopular tax and currency policies, sparking country-wide protests. Government repression further weakened the already narrow political space for civil society and human rights organizations.

In 2018, Interpeace initiated an exploratory process in Zimbabwe to assess how it could contribute to peace and reconciliation efforts in the current context. In line with its Track 6 approach - connecting local communities, civil society, and governments - Interpeace held consultations with representatives of the National Peace and Reconciliation Commission; the Parliamentary Committee on Youth, Sports and Reconciliation; the Special Adviser to the President on Peace and Reconciliation; and, representatives of civil society, church bodies and youth organizations. These consultations were received positively and were further encouraged by all concerned in order to foster sustainable peace and development in the country.

Burkina Faso

Burkina Faso has witnessed a dramatic increase in violence in the last five years. National and international institutions are investing heavily in the security response with little attention to the root causes of violence. Moreover, there is no known nationwide attempt to understand the multiple perspectives, needs and capacities of local populations in the context of these security threats. Youth are increasingly isolated from, and frustrated by, national decision-making processes. They are often influenced by unbalanced reporting driven by social and other media, and are particularly affected by security challenges including inter-communal conflict.

Interpeace carried several exploratory visits to Burkina Faso in 2018. Interpeace representatives met with governmental leaders, civil society organizations, researchers as well as international partners. The exploration process concluded that there are needs for “Track 6” initiatives enabling to better bridge local populations’ views and perspectives with decision makers and informing policy making.

In 2019, Interpeace will seek to conduct an analysis of the drivers of violent conflict and factors of resilience in Burkina Faso. The approach is rooted in the assertion that supporting stakeholders through their participation in understanding conflict, contributes to the design of inclusive and locally owned peacebuilding processes. It will also develop a project in partnership with Fondation Hirondelle aiming to address these challenges by creating spaces for young people to develop mutual understanding, participate in public debate, and offer fresh, youth-oriented solutions to security challenges.
Influencing International Policy And Practice

A renewed Policy & Learning Agenda

In 2018, Interpeace renewed its policy and learning agenda in order to strengthen and improve its own institutional effectiveness and coherence.

Peace Responsiveness and measuring resilience

The development of policy and programmatic partnerships with UN and international non-governmental organization partners, on two strategic concepts, marked a major achievement in 2018.

The first of these is the newly developed concept of “peace responsiveness”, advanced through work with other international development, humanitarian, stabilization and business actors. Peace responsiveness aims to improve and move beyond notions of conflict sensitivity to encourage more proactive design, programming, monitoring and evaluation. Ultimately, peace responsiveness informs how technically-oriented development, humanitarian, stabilization, peacekeeping and private sector actions can better contribute to sustainable peace.

The second area of advancement in 2018 was the development of measurement tools to better understand how actors and individuals can effectively draw on their own resilience in a peacebuilding context.

In these two areas of work, partnership was further expanded with the Food and Agriculture Organization, further solidified with the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, and a new partnership established with the World Health Organization.

Interpeace Advisory Team – IPAT

The Interpeace Advisory Team, IPAT, was created in 2013 to respond to an increasing demand from international and national actors wishing to benefit from the organization’s experience and know-how in order to improve the impact of their own peacebuilding strategies, policies and processes.

Now in its fifth year, IPAT continued to administer its highly successful Effective Advising for Peacebuilding course. 2018 also saw the expansion of IPAT’s network of associates (experts) that help deliver strategic advisory services.
Peace Talks is a joint initiative of Interpeace, UN Office at Geneva, and the Geneva Peacebuilding Platform showcasing the inspirational stories of people who are making extraordinary contributions to peace. Through city-specific events, speakers come from different sectors and industries to share their personal stories, ideas and practical solutions to conflict resolution. In 2018, Peace Talks fostered a global discussion on people’s solutions to conflict through events in Geneva and London.

The events were instrumental in bringing to the global stage, conversations on the diverse efforts of peace champions around the world. Speakers included young men and women from Syria, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, Rwanda, Bosnia, Colombia, Niger, Jordan and Lebanon.
Our participatory action research, multi-stakeholder dialogues, qualitative and quantitative research processes provide a foundation for the development of effective peacebuilding practices, which can in turn influence policies at a national and international level. This is a list of the resources published in the past year.

Research developed as a contribution to the Progress Study on Youth Peace and Security

The following reports were commissioned by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) and the Peacebuilding Support Office (PBSO), as a contribution to the Progress Study on Youth, Peace and Security mandated by Security Council Resolution 2250. The research and consultations for the reports followed the key research questions and methodology developed for the Progress Study.

Interpeace and local partners led research processes in the Northern Triangle of Central America, Côte d’Ivoire, Palestine and Sweden.

**Youth, Peace and Security in the Northern Triangle of Central America**

**Palestinian Youth Challenges and Aspirations**

**Youth perspectives from the global North**

**Challenging the Conventional: Making Post-Violence Reconciliation Succeed**

This report addresses the design and implementation of reconciliation processes, based on studies in Guatemala, Northern Ireland, DRC and South Africa. It argues that reconciliation requires a tailor-made approach and lasting attention from all segments of society. The report was developed in partnership with the Kofi Annan Foundation.

**Burundi**

**Etude sur les Aspirations des Jeunes pour le Burundi de demain – Gira iyo uva n’iyo uja**

This report presents the findings of a participatory research process on the perspectives and visions of young people for a peaceful, economically dynamic Burundi with a competent education system and respect for the rule of law. Over 4,000 young people (aged 15-29) were consulted.
« D’un coup d’essai, un véritable coup de maître »

“From trial to success”
Women’s participation in the defense and security forces in Mali: a vehicle for trust

Participatory action research focused on improving trust between women and the defense and security forces and promoting women’s participation in the defense and security forces in Mali. More than 3000 people were consulted through focus groups and individual interviews in the regions of Gao, Timbuktu and Bamako.

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the current situation in the Gao Region, highlighting the opinions and perceptions of local actors. It provides stakeholders with the opportunity to understand the views of the local population and how they perceive the initiatives put in place to solve the crisis, be they financial, technical or military.

Portraits Croisés - Analyse locale des dynamiques de conflit et de résilience dans les cercles d’Ansongo, Bourem et Gao

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the current situation in the Ansongo, Bourem and Gao regions, highlighting the opinions and perceptions of local actors. It provides stakeholders with the opportunity to understand the views of the local population and how they perceive the initiatives put in place to solve the crisis, be they financial, technical or military.
Support, Funding and Expenditure
Our Partners and Donors

Our strategic partners

Building on its 25 years of supporting peace processes worldwide, Interpeace recognizes the importance of building strong partnerships with national as well as international actors, enabling to engage in political dialogues, align strategies and join forces to achieve common objectives.

Interpeace is particularly grateful to its governmental Strategic Partners—the Netherlands, Sweden and Switzerland—for their continued political and financial support. Their commitment has been critical in achieving the results presented in this report.

Other partners and donors

Ben & Jerry’s
Canada
CEPAD
City of Stockholm
CiviPol Conseil
Denmark
Democratic Republic of Congo
European Commission
FAO
Free Press Unlimited
Folke Benedotte Academy
Germany
Humanity United
Inter Mediat
International Organization for Migration
Japan
Mirabaud Bank
MUCF
Norway
Queen Silvia Foundation
Robert Bosch Foundation
Sales Force
United Kingdom
UNDP
UNICEF
UN PBF
United States Department of State

Letter from the Auditors


Opinion

The summary consolidated financial statements of International Peacbuilding Alliance (INTERPEACE), which comprise the summary consolidated statement of comprehensive income, summary balance sheet, summary statement of cash flows, summary statement of changes in equity and explanatory notes, are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of International Peacbuilding Alliance (INTERPEACE) for the year ended 31 December 2018.

In our opinion, the accompanying summary consolidated financial statements derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of International Peacbuilding Alliance (INTERPEACE) for the year ended 31 December 2018 are consistent, in all material respects, with those consolidated financial statements, in accordance with the bases of preparation described in note 2.

Summary Consolidated Financial Statement

The summary consolidated financial statements do not contain all the disclosures required by the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Reading the summary consolidated financial statements and the auditor’s report thereon, therefore, is not a substitute for reading the audited consolidated financial statements and the auditor’s report thereon.

The Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Our Report Thereon

We expressed an unqualified audit opinion on the audited consolidated financial statements in our report dated July 11, 2019.

Management’s Responsibility for the Summary Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation of the summary consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on whether the summary consolidated financial statements are presented, in all material respects, with the summary of the audited consolidated financial statements referred to on our procedures, which were conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISA) 803, “Engagements to Report on Summary Financial Statements.”
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

Calendar Year 2018 (In US Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Nations</td>
<td>1,448,087</td>
<td>1,070,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governments</td>
<td>11,531,555</td>
<td>14,345,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trusts &amp; Foundations, NGO and Other</td>
<td>509,579</td>
<td>1,907,040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unrestricted net (loss) / income for the year (2,864,065) 2,555,662

Cash Flow from operating activities

Adjustments for:
- Depreciation 45,180 59,618
- Net finance costs / (income) 12,773 (115,983)

(2,806,112) 2,499,297

Change in deposits (10,439) (8,183)
Change in advances to UN 214,770 (143,011)
Change in advances to partners 9,405 (149,847)
Change in donor income receivable 998,171 1,609,616
Change in accounts receivable and prepayments (14,849) 132,161
Change in provisions and employee benefits (144,235) 46,027
Change in deferred income 5,531,950 (785,624)
Change in amount due to partners (29,268) (16,878)
Change in Income to be repaid to donors 235,480 (423,768)
Change in accounts payable and accrued expenses 62,776 (397,518)

Net cash from operating activities 4,047,649 2,362,272

Cash flows from investing activities

Interest received 154 8,659
Acquisition of property plant and equipment (19,690) (20,022)

(19,536) (11,643)

Cash flows from financing activities

Interest paid (1,208) (5,861)

(1208) (5 861)

Net cash used in financing activities 4,026,905 2,355,662

Change in deposits (10,439) (8,183)
Change in advances to UN 214,770 (143,011)
Change in advances to partners 9,405 (149,847)
Change in donor income receivable 998,171 1,609,616
Change in accounts receivable and prepayments (14,849) 132,161
Change in provisions and employee benefits (144,235) 46,027
Change in deferred income 5,531,950 (785,624)
Change in amount due to partners (29,268) (16,878)
Change in Income to be repaid to donors 235,480 (423,768)
Change in accounts payable and accrued expenses 62,776 (397,518)

Net (loss) / income (2,835,945) 2,594,410

Carryforward from Previous Year 4,897,077

Closing Balance 2,061,152 4,897,077

Balance Sheet

(As at 31 December)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, Plant and Equipment</td>
<td>120,727</td>
<td>146,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>121,082</td>
<td>109,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor Income Receivable</td>
<td>15,055,705</td>
<td>8,980,126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Assets |
| Deposits | 5,520 | 7,016 |
| Advances to UN | 24,910 | 259,686 |
| Advances to Partners | 491,252 | 509,797 |
| Donor Income Receivable | 11,643,738 | 9,205,684 |
| Other Receivables and Prepayments | 225,724 | 199,735 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 10,470,577 | 6,510,806 |

Total Assets | 35,137,235 | 25,908,207 |

Liabilities and Reserves

Non Current Liabilities |
| Provisions | 59,563 | 52,525 |
| Employee Benefits | 585,642 | 718,324 |
| Deferred Income | 15,055,705 | 8,980,126 |

Current Liabilities |
| Deferred Income | 19,033,788 | 10,065,662 |
| Amounts due to Partners | 6,914 | 5,818 |
| Income to be Repaid to Donors | 616,505 | 580,825 |
| Payables and Accruals | 718,786 | 718,536 |

Total Liabilities | 34,107,013 | 21,011,150 |

Unrestricted Reserves | 2,061,152 | 4,897,077 |

Total Liabilities and Reserves | 36,137,235 | 25,908,207 |

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The consolidated summary financial statements are prepared using the same structured presentation and measurement basis but do not contain all disclosures required by International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes in Reserves</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening Balance</td>
<td>4,869,068</td>
<td>26,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted net (loss) / income for the year</td>
<td>(2,860,576)</td>
<td>(5,440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items that will never be reclassified to profit or loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remeasurements of defined benefit liability</td>
<td>41,209</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items that are or may be reclassified to profit or loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation differences</td>
<td>(15,089)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total other comprehensive (loss) / income</td>
<td>28,120</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive (loss) / income for the year</td>
<td>(2,832,456)</td>
<td>(5,440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Balance</td>
<td>2,056,612</td>
<td>25,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In 2018, the Interpeace family was saddened by the loss of three great peacebuilders, whose invaluable contributions have gone a long way towards helping shape the organization's work and positioning over the years. We wish to take this opportunity to pay tribute to these three men, who we greatly cherished.

**Paddy Ashdown**  
(1941 - 2018)

Paddy Ashdown, a former member of the Interpeace Governing Board, and a British politician who served as a diplomat, leader of the Liberal Democrats, a Member of Parliament, and later a Member of the House of Lords, passed away on 22 December 2018, aged 77.

Paddy was an exceptional speaker and political mind who did not hesitate to stand up to those he believed were abusing their power and oppressing their citizens. His varied career gave him a unique perspective and vantage point from which to view current events.

As a Board member, Paddy was always available, even on very short notice, to provide advice and to open doors as needed. He had admirable energy, always moving forward, always thinking about the next issue to tackle, the next book to write, a speech to give, or an op-ed to publish. His impatience was always a good reminder that we need to do as much as we can, in life, to make the world a better place.

**Mohamed Sahnoun**  
(1931-2018)

Mohamed Sahnoun, an Algerian diplomat and former member of the Interpeace Governing Board, passed away on 24 September 2018. Over the broad sweep of his career, he served as his country’s Ambassador to France, Germany, Morocco, the United States, and the UN. He also served as Assistant Secretary-General of the African Union; Assistant Secretary-General of the Arab League, and as Special Representative of the UN Secretary-General to Somalia and the Great Lakes region of Africa.

Mohamed was remarkably gifted at persuading warring factions to sit down and talk. He was also a man who lived without rancour. As a young man, he was arrested and tortured by the French colonial authorities during Algeria’s struggle for independence, but decades later as a diplomat he nurtured warm relations with French leaders.

A lifelong pacifist, Mohamed was always searching for more effective ways to bring peace. He played a key role in seeking common ground between the global North and South, and his contributions helped give rise to the UN’s Responsibility to Protect (R2P). His wisdom will be sorely missed.

**Philip Thomas**  
(1965-2018)

Philip Thomas, a Senior Associate of Interpeace’s International Peacebuilding Advisory Team (IPAT), passed away on 30 November 2018. Philip was a committed peacebuilder and a remarkable human being with a lifelong commitment to peace.

Philip was a peace advocate with over three decades of experience in conflict prevention, conflict transformation and peacebuilding. During this time, he travelled to more than 30 countries, working with heads of state, indigenous groups, community leaders and corporate entities, trying to encourage dialogue and implement peace.

An extraordinarily wise and humble man, Philip always challenged people to be a better version of themselves, and to discern the hidden ray of hope when all seemed lost.
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